**NOVEMBER 6, 2025**

# CGSA GOVERNANCE AND ADVOCACY MANUAL

*ADVOCACY AND LEADERSHIP EDUCATION FOR SYSTEMIC REFORM: KNOWLEDGE DIPLOMACY AND GLOBAL STEWARDSHIP*

**CREATED BY**
EUSL AB
*Care to Change the World*

# Table of Contents

# CGSA Governance and Advocacy Manual

**Preamble**

The Council for Global Social Advocacy (CGSA) is constituted as the advocacy and leadership-education organ within the GSEA architecture. Its mandate is to uplift leaders, shape global discourse, and embed social equity as a living principle in governance and enterprise. CGSA does not operate as a lobbying body in the narrow sense; it functions as a knowledge diplomacy platform, equipping heads of state, ministers, and institutional leaders with the intellectual, ethical, and strategic tools to navigate a century defined by interdependence, climate urgency, and social transformation.

This Manual codifies CGSA's governance structure and advocacy protocols, ensuring that its work remains principled, transparent, and consonant with Agenda 2074, the Sustainable Development Goals, and the African Union's Agenda 2063 where applicable. It integrates global leadership education, policy engagement, and narrative shaping into a coherent framework that respects the separation-of-functions doctrine under GSEA while enabling CGSA to act as the voice and conscience of the Social Global Goals.

## Chapter 1 — Mandate and Role in Global Leadership Education

**1.1 Normative basis.**

CGSA's mandate derives from Agenda 2074's Pillar IV (Integrity, Accountability, and Responsible Conduct) and its commitment to knowledge diplomacy as a lever for systemic change. CGSA interprets advocacy not as transactional influence but as transformational leadership development, grounded in universal rights, sustainable-development imperatives, and climate-consistent governance norms.

**1.2 Core functions.**

(a) **Leadership Education for Heads of State and Ministers.** CGSA curates executive learning programmes that combine normative literacy (human rights, SDGs, Paris Agreement), fiduciary and ESG governance, and adaptive leadership for complex systems. These programmes are delivered through **immersive fellowships, scenario labs, and peer-exchange forums**, ensuring that leaders internalise equity principles as operational mandates rather than rhetorical commitments.

(b) **Global Leadership Fellowship and Certification.** CGSA administers a fellowship track culminating in a certification recognised by GSEA and partner institutions. The fellowship emphasises **learn-by-doing** through participation in Social Global Goal projects, reinforcing the link between theory and practice.

(c) **Knowledge Diplomacy and Strategic Communications.** CGSA acts as a convener of high-level dialogues, policy roundtables, and media engagements that articulate the Social Global Goals as actionable priorities for states, RECs, and enterprises.

(d) **Advocacy for Systemic Reform.** CGSA engages multilaterals, DFIs, and global fora to embed equity and climate-responsibility norms into financing, procurement, and governance frameworks, leveraging comparative standards such as the World Bank ESF, IFC Performance Standards, and OECD integrity guidelines.

**1.3 Institutional positioning.**

CGSA operates under GSEA's custodial canopy but retains autonomy in content design, fellowship admissions, and advocacy strategy. It reports to the GSEA Board through the Standards and Safeguards Council for normative coherence and to the Compliance, Audit and Ethics Committee for integrity

assurance. CGSA does not exercise fiduciary or sanctioning powers; its influence is normative and reputational, not coercive.

**1.4 Principles of engagement.**
CGSA's engagements are guided by:
• **Transparency**—advocacy positions and fellowship curricula are published;
• **Inclusivity**—programmes prioritise gender balance, youth representation, and regional diversity;
• **Non-partisanship**—CGSA does not endorse political parties or candidates;
• **Evidence-based advocacy**—positions are grounded in research and comparative analysis, not ideology.

# Chapter 2 — Integration with SDEP, PCPP, DESA, and PCGG

**2.1 Purpose of integration.**
CGSA's advocacy and educational mandate is not siloed; it is woven into the operational fabric of flagship programmes—SDEP (Social Development and Education Programme), PCPP (Pan-Continental Power Play), DESA (Digitalisation, Education and Social Agency), and PCGG (Pan-Continental Global Ground). These programmes represent the practical theatres where Agenda 2074 principles are tested and scaled. CGSA ensures that leaders and decision-makers are not passive observers but active co-architects of transformation.

**2.2 Educational integration.**
(a) SDEP and DESA. CGSA embeds leadership modules into SDEP's vocational and educational pipelines and DESA's digitalisation initiatives, equipping ministers and senior officials with the capacity to govern technology adoption, data sovereignty, and inclusive education systems.
(b) PCPP. Through PCPP's continental infrastructure and energy projects, CGSA trains leaders on public-private social economy models, risk governance, and climate-aligned investment strategies, ensuring that power-sector transformation does not replicate inequities.
(c) PCGG. CGSA leverages PCGG's cooperative governance frameworks to teach social constitutionalism, participatory budgeting, and intergenerational equity, preparing leaders to institutionalise these norms in national and REC-level governance.

**2.3 Advocacy integration.**
CGSA positions these programmes as living proof points in global discourse. It crafts narratives for multilateral platforms, donor conferences, and media channels that demonstrate how Social Global Goals translate into tangible outcomes—jobs created, emissions reduced, rights upheld. Advocacy is not abstract; it is anchored in evidence from programme implementation, making the case for scaling and replication.

**2.4 Uplifting leaders as change agents.**
Integration is not merely technical; it is symbolic and reputational. CGSA curates global recognition pathways—awards, public endorsements, and fellowship alumni networks—that celebrate leaders who champion Agenda 2074 principles through these programmes. This recognition is designed to shift incentives: prestige accrues to those who lead with equity and foresight.

**2.5 Knowledge diplomacy as connective tissue.**
CGSA acts as the bridge between operational programmes and global policy arenas. It translates lessons from SDEP, DESA, PCPP, and PCGG into policy briefs, op-eds, and high-level dialogues, ensuring that advocacy is informed by practice and that practice is legitimised by global norms.

# Chapter 3 — Advocacy and Policy Engagement Protocols

**3.1 Purpose and normative anchor.**

CGSA's advocacy is principled, evidence-based, and non-partisan, grounded in Agenda 2074's commitment to equity, climate responsibility, and intergenerational stewardship. It is not lobbying for narrow interests; it is policy engagement for systemic reform, designed to elevate governance standards and embed Social Global Goals into the DNA of global decision-making.

**3.2 Advocacy scope.**

CGSA engages across three concentric spheres:

(a) **Global policy platforms** — UN fora, AU summits, G20, COP processes, and multilateral development finance dialogues;

(b) **Regional and REC-level frameworks** — harmonising Agenda 2074 principles with Agenda 2063 and regional integration blueprints;

(c) **National governance ecosystems** — supporting ministries and parliaments to domesticate equity norms into law, budget, and institutional practice.

**3.3 Protocol for engagement.**

Advocacy follows a structured, transparent process:

• **Position development** — grounded in comparative research, MEL evidence from Social Global Goal projects, and consultations with stakeholders;

• **Validation** — positions reviewed by the Standards and Safeguards Council for normative coherence and by the Compliance Committee for integrity;

• **Public disclosure** — advocacy briefs published on CGSA's digital commons before external engagement;

• **Dialogue and diplomacy** — engagements conducted by trained CGSA envoys and fellows, emphasising constructive tone, cultural sensitivity, and solution-orientation.

**3.4 Advocacy instruments.**

CGSA deploys:

• **Policy briefs and white papers** — framing equity and climate imperatives in actionable terms;

• **Executive dialogues** — curated sessions for heads of state, ministers, and CEOs;

• **Strategic partnerships** — with DFIs, philanthropic networks, and academic institutions to amplify reach;

• **Media narratives** — op-eds, interviews, and campaigns that translate technical reforms into human stories.

**3.5 Integrity and transparency safeguards.**

All advocacy engagements are logged in the CGSA Advocacy Register, with summaries of objectives, participants, and outcomes published quarterly. CGSA prohibits quid-pro-quo arrangements, undisclosed gifts, or partisan endorsements. Its credibility rests on radical openness and ethical consistency.

# Chapter 4 — Knowledge Diplomacy and Strategic Communications

**4.1 Concept and rationale.**

Knowledge diplomacy is CGSA's signature approach: ideas as bridges, not weapons. It positions knowledge as a neutral, enabling force that convenes diverse actors around shared truths—human dignity, sustainability, and cooperative governance. Strategic communications amplify this ethos,

ensuring that the Social Global Goals are understood not as abstract targets but as living commitments expressed through real projects and leaders.

**4.2 Objectives.**
(a) **Global narrative shaping** — reposition equity and climate responsibility as competitive advantages for states and enterprises;
(b) **Leader visibility** — celebrate heads of state, ministers, and CEOs who champion Agenda 2074 principles, creating reputational incentives for progressive governance;
(c) **Public literacy** — demystify complex reforms through accessible storytelling, infographics, and open-data dashboards.

**4.3 Channels and platforms.**
• **CGSA Digital Commons** — a curated knowledge hub hosting policy briefs, fellowship outputs, and project narratives;
• **Global Leadership Forums** — high-visibility events aligned with major summits (UNGA, AU, COP);
• **Media partnerships** — collaborations with global and regional outlets for op-eds, interviews, and documentaries;
• **Social amplification** — strategic use of digital platforms to engage youth and civil society in the Social Global Goals movement.

**4.4 Content architecture.**
Communications follow a **three-layer model**:
• **Normative layer** — framing Agenda 2074 principles and global compacts (SDGs, Paris Agreement, Agenda 2063);
• **Evidence layer** — showcasing MEL data and success stories from SDEP, DESA, PCPP, and PCGG;
• **Human layer** — leader profiles, community voices, and visual storytelling that make equity tangible.

**4.5 Ethical and cultural safeguards.**
CGSA commits to truthfulness, respect for cultural diversity, and avoidance of sensationalism. All content undergoes review for accuracy, rights compliance, and sensitivity to vulnerable groups. Intellectual property is managed under open-access principles where feasible, reinforcing CGSA's ethos of knowledge as a global commons.

# Chapter 5 — Capacity-Building for Heads of State and Ministers

5.1 Purpose and scope. CGSA's capacity-building mandate equips incumbent and incoming heads of state, ministers, and senior public executives with the normative literacy, the strategic toolset, and the operating disciplines required to convert the Social Global Goals into lawful programmes that withstand fiscal, political, and climate-related shocks. The curriculum is expressly grounded in universally recognised compacts and guidance—namely the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (including the principle of universality and the "leave no one behind" commitment), the Paris Agreement and its nationally determined contributions architecture, and, in the African context, the African Union's Agenda 2063. It also incorporates contemporary governance baselines for environmental and social due diligence and corporate conduct (World Bank Environmental and Social Framework, IFC Performance Standards, the updated OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, and ISO 26000 guidance on social responsibility) to ensure policy choices remain financeable, rights-compatible, and implementable at scale.

5.2 **Learning outcomes.** Upon completion, participants shall be able to (i) align national strategies, sectoral policies, and budget frameworks with the SDGs and NDCs in a manner that is just, climate-consistent, and fiscally prudent; (ii) commission and scrutinise programmes against safeguards and participation duties comparable to ESF/IFC practices so that development partners can rely on government systems; (iii) embed responsible-business conduct expectations into procurement, PPPs, and SOE governance consistent with the OECD's 2023 guidance and the UNGPs; and (iv) communicate policy choices through a knowledge-diplomacy lens that uplifts public confidence and attracts co-financing.

5.3 **Curriculum architecture.** The executive curriculum is structured in four interlocking strands. First, Normative Literacy covers the legal and policy ramifications of the SDGs, Paris temperature goals, and, where applicable, Agenda 2063 targets, using case-based analysis of ministerial decisions and inter-ministerial coordination. Second, Programme Design and Safeguards trains officials to apply proportionate environmental and social risk management and stakeholder-engagement duties, with comparative reference to ESF/IFC methods and to the accountability expectations in the UNGPs. Third, Responsible Market-Building explores how to mobilise private capital without compromising rights or climate integrity, drawing on OECD 2023 due-diligence expectations across the full value chain and on ISO 26000's stakeholder and transparency principles. Fourth, Communications and Knowledge Diplomacy develops leader-level narrative and crisis-briefing skills that translate complex reforms into public-facing commitments that can be independently validated.

5.4 **Delivery modalities.** CGSA delivers (a) concentrated Executive Intensives (two to five days) timed to cabinet calendars; (b) Peer Dialogues convened around multilateral meetings (UNGA, AU Summits, COP) to turn communiqués into implementable mandates; (c) In-Country Clinics that adapt global guidance to national legislation, procurement rules, and fiscal space; and (d) Immersion Labs embedded in live programmes (e.g., SDEP, DESA, PCPP, PCGG) so that learning occurs in situ and generates evidence for future advocacy. Outputs of each modality are recorded in an open repository to strengthen external legitimacy and donor reliance.

5.5 **Assessment and accreditation.** Participants complete a pre- and post-programme diagnostic, a ministerial action note with a mapped theory of change and risk register, and a short cabinet briefing aligned with safeguards and responsible-business baselines. Successful completion confers **CGSA Executive Certification**, stackable toward the Global Leadership Fellowship (Chapter 6), with continuing-learning expectations to maintain currency with evolving standards.[4][5][7]

5.6 **Integrity, neutrality, and conflicts.** CGSA training is strictly non-partisan. Faculty contracts, gift policies, and conflict-of-interest declarations are mandatory. Public communication about cohorts focuses on the office, not the party, and emphasises the universal character of rights and obligations recognised in the cited compacts and guidelines.[1][5][7]

## Chapter 6 — Global Leadership Fellowship and Certification Programmes

6.1 **Purpose and tiers.** The Global Leadership Fellowship (GLF) operationalises CGSA's mission to uplift leaders by combining rigorous instruction, mentored practice inside live programmes, and peer accountability. The GLF is offered in three tiers to preserve coherence and manage time demands: Head-of-State (retreat-based; policy-level outputs), Ministerial (hands-on programme governance and reform design), and Executive (DG/PS/City-Regional leaders and SOE chairs), with shared standards and differentiated depth.

6.2 **Admissions and cohort composition.** Admissions are merit-based and role-sensitive. Candidates must demonstrate current or imminent responsibility for portfolios where Agenda 2074 alignment, climate-consistency, and social-equity outcomes can be materially advanced. Cohorts are geographically balanced, gender-inclusive, and discipline-diverse; at least one third of seats are reserved for reform coalitions (e.g., Finance/Energy/Planning triads) to catalyse cross-ministerial implementation.

6.3 **Programme structure.** The Fellowship unfolds in three phases. Phase I — Foundations delivers an integrated core on SDGs/Paris/Agenda 2063, responsible-business conduct, and safeguards-ready programme design, with practical assignments benchmarked to ESF/IFC and OECD/UNGPs/ISO 26000 expectations. Phase II — Practicum embeds each Fellow in a defined workstream within SDEP, DESA, PCPP, or PCGG, with a deliverable that advances a measurable Social Global Goal (e.g., a cabinet-cleared policy circular, a safeguards-compliant procurement template, or a climate-consistent sector pathway). Phase III — Capstone and Public Lecture requires a peer-reviewed "Leadership Note" and a public-facing talk that articulates lessons learned and commits to time-bound actions, thereby converting learning into a reputational stake.

6.4 **Standards, assessment, and external reference points.** Fellowship milestones are assessed against explicit standards: normative alignment (SDGs, Paris, Agenda 2063), rights-compatibility and due diligence (UNGPs; ESF/IFC; OECD 2023), stakeholder engagement and social responsibility (ISO 26000), and communications clarity. Capstones are archived in the CGSA digital commons and may be cited in donor engagements as evidence of readiness to implement in a manner trusted by multilaterals and co-financiers.

6.5 **Certification and continuing obligations.** Graduates receive the CGSA Global Leadership Fellow designation with tier notation. Certification is time-bounded and renewable through continuing-professional-development modules and periodic submission of "progress letters" that document results, course-corrective actions, and public-interest benefits. CGSA reserves the right to suspend or revoke certification for material integrity breaches, including conduct that contradicts the UNGP effectiveness criteria for remedy or the due-diligence expectations of the OECD 2023 update.

6.6 **Alumni network and knowledge diplomacy.** Alumni are integrated into a structured Leadership Circle that convenes around UNGA, AU Summits, COPs, and regional forums to advance collective advocacy grounded in fresh field evidence. Alumni mentor subsequent cohorts, co-author policy notes, and participate in public narratives that celebrate credible reform while rejecting performative signalling. In this way, the Fellowship becomes a standing architecture for knowledge diplomacy and mutual accountability.

6.7 **Data protection, attribution, and public communication.** Participant data, cohort outputs, and recordings are handled under explicit consent and privacy rules. Public communications are curated to showcase national or institutional reforms rather than personal promotion, reinforcing the ethos that leadership is stewardship of universal commitments rather than proprietorship of outcomes.

# Chapter 7 — Delivery Governance and Faculty Standards

**7.1 Purpose and scope.**
This chapter codifies the governance architecture for CGSA's capacity-building and fellowship delivery, ensuring that programmes for heads of state, ministers, and senior executives maintain academic rigor, ethical integrity, and operational resilience. It complements Chapters 5–6 by embedding quality assurance and continuity safeguards into the leadership-education function.

**7.2 Faculty accreditation and independence.**

Faculty and mentors engaged in CGSA programmes must demonstrate (i) subject-matter expertise in governance, fiduciary integrity, ESG safeguards, and climate policy; (ii) practical experience in multilateral negotiations or national reform; and (iii) adherence to CGSA's neutrality and ethics code. Accreditation is granted by the Standards and Safeguards Council after review of credentials, publications, and conflict-of-interest declarations. Faculty independence is preserved through contractual firewalls prohibiting advisory roles in projects they assess or grade.

**7.3 Curriculum governance.**

All curricula—including executive intensives, immersion labs, and fellowship tracks—are reviewed annually for normative alignment with Agenda 2074, SDGs, Paris Agreement, and Agenda 2063. Updates incorporate evolving standards (e.g., ESF revisions, IFC guidance, OECD due-diligence updates) and lessons from MEL dashboards. Curricula are published in the CGSA Digital Commons for transparency and peer scrutiny.

**7.4 Delivery modalities and BCMS integration.**

Programmes are delivered through hybrid formats (in-person, digital, and immersive labs) with continuity safeguards mapped into the Business Continuity Management System (ISO 22301). Critical dependencies—faculty availability, digital platforms, and venue logistics—are stress-tested annually to ensure resilience under disruption.

**7.5 Quality assurance and evaluation.**

CGSA applies a dual-layer QA system: (i) formative evaluation during delivery (participant feedback, peer review of assignments); and (ii) summative evaluation post-completion (impact diagnostics, leadership-action tracking). External validators may be engaged to attest to QA processes, reinforcing credibility with donors and DFIs.

**7.6 Ethical and cultural safeguards.**

Faculty and programme staff must complete mandatory training on cultural sensitivity, rights-based pedagogy, and anti-corruption norms. Breaches trigger corrective action plans and, where material, removal from the faculty roster.

## Chapter 8 — MEL, Recognition, and Public Reporting

**8.1 MEL integration for leadership programmes.**

Monitoring and Evaluation for CGSA programmes aligns with Agenda 2074's Unified MEL Framework. Indicators include:

• **Knowledge acquisition** (pre/post diagnostics);

• **Application in governance** (policy notes, cabinet briefings, programme design outputs);

• **Behavioural change** (adoption of safeguards, stakeholder-engagement protocols);

• **Systemic impact** (integration of Social Global Goals into national strategies or REC frameworks).

**8.2 Recognition architecture.**

CGSA operates a **Recognition Ladder** to incentivise sustained leadership behaviour:

• **Certification** — awarded upon programme completion;

• **Fellowship tiering** — Head-of-State, Ministerial, Executive;

• **Annual Impact Awards** — for demonstrable reforms aligned with Agenda 2074 principles;

• **Public Leadership Index** — a transparent dashboard ranking progress on equity, climate, and governance commitments.

**8.3 Public reporting and transparency.**

CGSA publishes an **Annual Leadership Impact Report** summarising cohort composition, programme outputs, and systemic reforms achieved. Reports include anonymised MEL data, case studies, and external validation attestations. All recognition events and awards are documented with clear criteria to prevent reputational capture or politicisation.

**8.4 Long-term engagement and personal development.**

Graduates enter a structured **Leadership Circle** with continuing-learning obligations, mentorship roles, and periodic progress letters. This architecture transforms CGSA programmes from episodic training into a **career-long development pathway**, reinforcing the principle that leadership for equity and sustainability is a **continuous discipline, not a one-off credential**.

# Chapter 9 — Validation System ("Bemötande Guiden")

**9.1 Purpose and scope.**

"Bemötande Guiden" establishes a transparent, standards-aligned *validation and recognition system* that publicly rates how organisations—primarily in the private sector, but extendable to public and social enterprises—meet, greet, and interact with people, especially individuals in or at risk of exclusion. The system also recognises the degree to which an organisation internalises Agenda 2074 principles, adopts recognised responsible-business baselines, and participates in CGSA leadership-education offers. The architecture is deliberately compatible with global instruments and good-practice guidance so that results are intelligible to customers, communities, investors, and development partners: notably the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), ISO 26000 (social responsibility), the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (2023 update), and widely used environmental-social safeguard frameworks (World Bank ESF; IFC Performance Standards).

**9.2 Definitions.**

For the purposes of this Chapter:

(a) "**Organisation**" means any private-law or public-interest entity seeking validation.

(b) "**Exclusion**" denotes barriers to participation in economic and social life by reason of status or circumstance; the relevant test is functional, not formal.

(c) "**Validator**" means an independent, accredited assurance professional or entity engaged under § 9.7.

(d) "**Interaction**" means observable conduct toward customers, workers (including non-employees in value chains), and communities in service touchpoints—physical, phone, and digital.

**9.3 Rating framework (five-star system).**

The Bemötande Guiden confers ratings on a one-to-five-star scale, valid for twenty-four (24) months from issuance and subject to surveillance checks. Rating determinations are based on *weighted evidence* across five pillars. The weights reflect the centrality of inclusive human interaction, enforceable rights, and lived uptake of Agenda 2074/CGSA learning.

- **Pillar A — Human Interaction & Inclusion (40 %)**: quality of frontline conduct toward excluded groups; accessibility of premises and digital channels; language, disability and cultural accommodations; evidence from structured observations and "mystery-client" audits. (*ISO 26000 core subjects: human rights, labour, consumer issues, community involvement.*)[2]

- **Pillar B — Rights, Safeguards & Due Diligence (20 %)**: existence and use of policies and processes consistent with **UNGPs** (policy commitment, human-rights due diligence, and

remedy), and with comparator safeguards on stakeholder engagement and community health and safety (ESF/IFC).[1][4][5]

- **Pillar C — Stakeholder Engagement & Remedy (15 %)**: adequacy and *effectiveness* of grievance mechanisms (access, predictability, equitability, transparency, and non-retaliation), with evidence of resolved cases and learning loops. (*UNGP effectiveness criteria; ESF ESS10 practice*).[1][4]

- **Pillar D — Workforce Inclusion & Value-Chain Practices (15 %)**: equal treatment, diversity and inclusion, fair work in own operations and first-tier suppliers (e.g., forced-labour/child-labour prohibitions; fair recruitment; disability inclusion); alignment with **IFC PS2** and **OECD** 2023 value-chain due-diligence expectations.[3][5]

- **Pillar E — Learning Uptake & Agenda 2074 Integration (10 %)**: participation in **CGSA** executive learning and fellowship tracks; codification of Agenda 2074 principles into standard operating procedures; publication of "you said—we did" logs evidencing change.

**Star thresholds (composite score, rounded to nearest whole):**

★ = 50–59; ★★ = 60–69; ★★★ = 70–79; ★★★★ = 80–89; ★★★★★ = 90–100. A ★★★ rating requires minimum sub-scores of ≥ 60 in Pillars A–C; ★★★★ requires ≥ 70 in Pillars A–D; ★★★★★ requires ≥ 80 in **all** pillars and at least one externally validated inclusion innovation (documented and replicable).

**9.4 Evidence model and audit trail.**

Evidence comprises: (i) *documentary* (policies, SOPs, training logs, remedy registers); (ii) *observational* (on-site and digital channel audits, mystery-client interactions); (iii) *stakeholder* (structured interviews/focus groups with affected people, with safe participation options); and (iv) *outcomes* (KPIs on accessibility, resolution times, re-offence rates, and satisfaction differentials by group). Observations and interviews follow safeguard-compatible protocols (stakeholder engagement, non-retaliation, do-no-harm).[1][4][5]

**9.5 Scoring calibration and comparability.**

Scoring rubrics, interview schedules, and observation templates are published and version-controlled. Annual calibration sessions align validators on scoring norms and edge-cases. Sampling strategies ensure representation of peak/off-peak hours, physical/digital channels, and regional sites; small-footprint entities may use pooled sampling across a franchise/cooperative network to preserve proportionality.

**9.6 Renewal, surveillance, and event-driven review.**

Ratings are valid for 24 months, subject to (i) one *surveillance check* at 12 months; and (ii) *event-driven* reviews where credible allegations or material incidents arise. Serious findings (e.g., retaliation against complainants, discriminatory practices, or credible harassment) trigger immediate reassessment and may lead to suspension or downgrade pending corrective action and independent verification.

**9.7 Governance, independence, and conflicts.**

A **Bemötande Guiden Oversight Panel** (BGOP), seated under CGSA, accredits validators, adopts and revises rubrics, and adjudicates appeals. Validators must be independent of the rated entity and disclose conflicts; advisory and assurance roles for the same client are prohibited within a 36-month cooling-off window. BGOP publishes an annual activity report, including statistics on ratings, appeals, suspensions, and systemic learning.

**9.8 Grievance, appeal, and remedy.**
Any affected person or organisation may file a grievance concerning a rating process or an entity's conduct via secure channels. Appeals of rating outcomes may be lodged within thirty (30) days, with grounds limited to *procedural error*, *material new evidence*, or *manifest scoring error*. Appeal decisions, rationales, and corrective-action requirements are published, with sensitive details redacted to protect individuals. Appeal design mirrors the UNGP effectiveness criteria and ESF practice for accessible, time-bound redress.[1][4]

**9.9 Publication, registry, and fair-use.**
All ratings, scoring summaries, and corrective-action plans are published in the **CGSA Digital Commons**. A public API permits fair-use by consumer platforms, public buyers, and DFIs, provided that (i) the rating is displayed in full with date and scope; and (ii) any reproduction includes a link to the official registry. Misuse—such as selective quoting, outdated displays, or false claims of rating—may be sanctioned by public notice, cease-and-desist, and, where appropriate, referral to consumer-protection authorities.

**9.10 Procurement and financing linkages (optional use by third parties).**
Public buyers and DFIs may reference the Bemötande Guiden in pre-qualification or scoring where lawful and proportionate—for example, requiring a minimum ★★★ for customer-facing service contracts or awarding points for ★★★★/★★★★★. Where such linkage is made, procurement documents must allow functional equivalents (e.g., credible third-party certifications) and describe transition paths for SMEs and social enterprises.

**9.11 Integration with CGSA leadership education.**
Participation in **CGSA** programmes is recognised but never mandatory. Organisations can earn Pillar E credit by demonstrating: (i) completed modules by relevant leaders; (ii) translation of learning into approved SOPs; and (iii) independent verification that practice changed (e.g., improved resolution times or accessibility). This operationalises the idea that *leadership development is a means to better bemötande, not an end in itself.*

**9.12 Data protection, dignity, and safety.**
All personal data are processed under explicit consent or other lawful bases, minimised to purpose, and secured. Stakeholder interviews and mystery-client work prioritise safety and non-retaliation, with options for anonymous reporting. Case write-ups are anonymised unless informed consent is obtained. These safeguards are consistent with rights-respecting engagement guidance and due-diligence expectations.[1][3][4]

**9.13 Sanctions and corrective actions.**
Misrepresentation, intimidation of participants, retaliation, or obstruction of validators constitute *material breaches*. The BGOP may downgrade, suspend, or revoke ratings, require corrective-action plans with time-bound milestones, and publish notices. Reinstatement requires independent verification of remedy—aligned with UNGP principles of legitimate process and effective outcomes.[1]

**9.14 Transition and continuous improvement.**
The system enters a pilot phase with volunteer cohorts across sectors (hospitality/retail, health and care, banking, mobility/logistics). Rubrics are refined based on pilot MEL results and peer review. A formal versioning protocol governs updates; organisations have a six-month window to migrate to the latest rubric. Annual "State of Bemötande" reports present sectoral benchmarks and replicable practices, advancing the *commons of methods* that CGSA promotes.