

DECEMBER 23, 2025



# SGG 3: GENDER EQUALITY AND EMPOWERMENT

*BREAKING BARRIERS, BUILDING EQUAL FUTURES*

CREATED BY

EUSL AB

*Care to Change the World*



## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1 — Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Chapter 2 — Goal Statement and Definition .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Chapter 3 — Strategic Rationale .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Chapter 4 — Advocacy Objectives.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Chapter 5 — Implementation Pathways (Non-Financial) .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Chapter 6 — Institutional Anchoring .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Chapter 7 — Compliance and Governance Principles .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Chapter 8 — Monitoring and Advocacy Metrics.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Chapter 9 — Risk and Mitigation.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Chapter 10 — Alignment with Other Goals .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Closing Summary .....</b>	<b>13</b>



# SGG 3: Gender Equality and Empowerment

## Chapter 1 — Executive Summary

Gender equality and empowerment constitute a non-derogable standard for equitable societies and lawful governance. Within Agenda for Social Equity 2074 (Agenda 2074), SGG 3 is framed to remove structural and behavioral barriers that limit women and marginalized genders from full and equal participation in social, economic, cultural, and political life. It complements the Social Global Goals' architecture and crystallizes Agenda 2074's social-equity mandate by establishing a universal, open-access framework that any jurisdiction or institution may adopt as a standards instrument and advocacy platform. The intent is outcome-oriented: equal rights in law and in practice; freedom from violence; parity in decision-making; equal remuneration for work of equal value; and dignified autonomy over one's body, labor, property, and data.

SGG 3 is anchored in, and designed to be interoperable with, established international instruments and agendas. It aligns substantively with SDG 5 of Agenda 2030, which defines gender equality and women's empowerment as both a stand-alone objective and a cross-cutting enabler of all Sustainable Development Goals. It incorporates targets on eliminating discrimination and violence, valuing unpaid care, ensuring participation and leadership, and safeguarding sexual and reproductive health and rights. It further draws normative authority from the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), often termed the international bill of rights for women, which requires States to take "all appropriate measures" to secure equality across political, economic, social, cultural, civil, and any other fields.

The operational emphasis of SGG 3 is on enforceable standards and measurable social outcomes rather than programmatic financing. It recognizes persistent deficits—such as the global gender pay gap and the pervasive prevalence of gender-based violence—and translates these realities into an advocacy and compliance agenda suited to governments, private sector actors, civil society, and cooperative institutions. Current evidence shows women earn, on average, about 20–22 percent less than men worldwide, with motherhood and occupational segregation compounding inequities; SGG 3 adopts the ILO's principle of equal remuneration for work of equal value (Convention No. 100) as a baseline compliance norm. It also internalizes the empirical finding that nearly one in three women experience physical and/or sexual violence in their lifetime and that such violence undermines every dimension of social equity; this drives the goal's insistence on prevention, protection, accountability, and survivor-centered services.

Consistent with Agenda 2074's institutional ecosystem, SGG 3 presupposes a distributed, role-based implementation model: GSEA leads advocacy, WOSL Group mobilizes membership and grassroots activation, Agenda 74 Agency supports implementation, DESA enables digital and data standards, GSCA advances cooperative governance tools, and GSIA provides oversight and compliance protocols. This configuration ensures open adoption and universal adaptability, allowing States, firms, communities, and individuals to operationalize the goal without licensing, financial barriers, or exclusivity.

By situating gender equality as both an intrinsic right and a systems-level determinant of social cohesion, innovation, and resilience, SGG 3 provides a rigorous, legally consonant, and practically

deployable standard for jurisdictions and institutions seeking to converge national reforms with global best practice, including Agenda 2030 and the African Union's Agenda 2063 Aspiration 6 on the empowerment of women and youth.

## Chapter 2 — Goal Statement and Definition

### Goal Statement

To achieve, by adoption and practice, full gender equality and empowerment across all domains of life by 2074, such that: discrimination on the basis of sex, gender, gender identity, or gender expression is prohibited and effectively remedied; all forms of gender-based violence are prevented, prosecuted, and redressed; women and marginalized genders participate on equal terms in decision-making at all levels; equal remuneration for work of equal value is realized across sectors; unpaid care and domestic work are recognized and shared; sexual and reproductive health and rights are respected; and equal rights to property, finance, data, and technology are guaranteed in law and realized in practice. This goal is universally adoptable, open-access, and designed for immediate policy incorporation by governments, private entities, civil society organizations, and individuals.

### Definition.

For the purposes of Agenda 2074, “gender equality” denotes the de jure and de facto equality of rights, opportunities, protections, and outcomes for all genders. It requires the dismantling of legal, institutional, cultural, and market-based barriers that produce unequal conditions or results. The term “empowerment” denotes the substantive capability of individuals to exercise agency over personal, social, economic, and political decisions, including bodily autonomy, freedom from violence, equal participation in governance, and equitable access to resources and technology. This definition is harmonized with:

- (a) SDG 5, which frames gender equality as both a dedicated goal and a cross-cutting prerequisite for sustainable development;
- (b) CEDAW, which defines discrimination against women as any distinction, exclusion, or restriction on the basis of sex that impairs or nullifies the enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms and obliges States to take all appropriate measures to eliminate such discrimination; and
- (c) the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, which establishes a global framework of critical areas of concern and strategic actions for women's rights and empowerment.

Within the Agenda 2074 canon, SGG 3 is construed as an outcomes-based social standard, not a funding program. It is designed to be implemented through advocacy, governance, and compliance pathways that are consistent with the Agenda 2074 White Paper and the Social Global Goals list as adopted under the European Social Label's authorship of the framework.

## Chapter 3 — Strategic Rationale

Gender equality is not merely a normative aspiration; it is a structural prerequisite for sustainable social development and lawful governance. The rationale for SGG 3 rests on three interdependent pillars: human rights, economic efficiency, and systemic resilience. First, equality between genders is a recognized *jus cogens* principle under international law, affirmed by instruments such as CEDAW and the Beijing Platform for Action, and embedded in SDG 5 of Agenda 2030. Denial of gender equality constitutes a violation of fundamental rights and undermines the legitimacy of governance systems. Second, empirical evidence demonstrates that gender parity in education, employment, and leadership

correlates with higher GDP growth, improved innovation capacity, and enhanced institutional performance. Conversely, gender-based discrimination imposes measurable economic costs through lost productivity, wage gaps, and underutilization of human capital. Third, gender equality strengthens social cohesion and resilience: societies that empower all genders exhibit lower rates of violence, higher civic participation, and greater adaptability to crises, including climate shocks and technological disruptions.

Agenda 2074 positions gender equality as a cross-cutting enabler of all Social Global Goals. Without dismantling gender-based barriers, objectives such as educational equity, decent work, and social justice cannot be fully realized. The African Union's Agenda 2063 explicitly identifies the empowerment of women and youth as a cornerstone for continental transformation, while the European Green Deal and global climate frameworks underscore the need for gender-responsive approaches to sustainability. By embedding gender equality into the Agenda 2074 canon, SGG 3 ensures that future governance models, digital ecosystems, and cooperative structures are inclusive by design, not by exception. This strategic rationale thus affirms that gender equality is both an intrinsic right and an instrumental condition for achieving the integrated vision of equity, sustainability, and shared prosperity by 2074.

## Chapter 4 — Advocacy Objectives

The advocacy mandate of SGG 3 is to translate normative commitments into enforceable standards and measurable social outcomes through coordinated, multi-level action. Advocacy under this goal shall pursue the following objectives:

1. **Policy Adoption and Legal Reform.** Secure the incorporation of gender equality and empowerment provisions into national constitutions, statutory frameworks, and regulatory instruments. This includes ratification and domestic implementation of CEDAW, enactment of equal pay legislation, and adoption of laws criminalizing all forms of gender-based violence, including technology-facilitated abuse.
2. **Institutional Reforms and Governance Standards.** Promote gender-responsive budgeting, parity mechanisms in public appointments, and mandatory gender audits within corporate governance structures. Advocate for compliance with GSIA protocols on transparency, accountability, and inclusivity.
3. **Public Awareness and Behavioral Change.** Launch evidence-based campaigns to dismantle stereotypes, normalize shared care responsibilities, and challenge discriminatory practices in education, employment, and political participation. Utilize WOSL Group's grassroots networks and Agenda 74 Agency's implementation capacity to ensure outreach at community and national levels.
4. **Digital Empowerment and Data Equity.** Through DESA, advocate for universal access to digital tools, gender-sensitive AI governance, and protection against algorithmic bias. Promote open-access toolkits for governments and enterprises to integrate gender equality into digital transformation agendas.
5. **Measurable Advocacy Outcomes.** Establish benchmarks such as:
  - Number of jurisdictions adopting gender equality legislation aligned with SGG 3;
  - Percentage increase in women's representation in decision-making bodies;
  - Reduction in gender pay gap across sectors;

- Prevalence decline in gender-based violence as recorded by national and global monitoring systems;
- Uptake of gender-responsive digital standards by public and private actors.

These objectives are designed for universal adaptability and open access, enabling any government, enterprise, or civil society organization to operationalize SGG 3 without financial or licensing barriers. Advocacy shall remain evidence-driven, rights-based, and anchored in the institutional ecosystem of Agenda 2074, ensuring coherence with other Social Global Goals and alignment with global frameworks such as SDG 5 and Agenda 2063.

## Chapter 5 — Implementation Pathways (Non-Financial)

This chapter delineates practical, non-financial pathways to advance SGG 3 through standards, partnerships, education, and community engagement. It is designed for universal adaptability and immediate integration into existing governance, institutional, and enterprise practices, without reliance on budgetary allocations or proprietary licensing. The pathways are evidence-based and interoperable with SDG 5, CEDAW, and the Beijing Platform for Action, while remaining faithful to Agenda 2074's social-equity framing.

### 5.1 Standards Integration and Legal Harmonization

Jurisdictions and institutions shall adopt a “standards-first” approach by mapping current laws, regulations, corporate codes, and data policies against the core requirements of SGG 3 and the international instruments to which they align. This includes codifying equal pay for work of equal value, non-discrimination clauses, anti-violence measures, and parity mechanisms. The ILO Equal Remuneration Convention (No.100) provides the technical basis for job evaluation and pay equity across sectors; implementation requires only methodological adoption and disclosure norms, not funding.

### 5.2 Capacity Building through Open Curricula

Institutions shall deploy open-access curricula for public servants, educators, health providers, law enforcement, and HR practitioners on gender mainstreaming, survivor-centered practices, and pay-equity audits. Content is to be drawn from UN and ILO toolkits and adapted locally through DESA's digital delivery channels. The Beijing Platform's critical areas of concern (e.g., violence against women, women in decision-making, institutional mechanisms) offer structured modules for training without financial requisition.

### 5.3 Community Mobilization and Norm Change

WOSL Group shall coordinate community-level campaigns to counter gender stereotypes, normalize shared care responsibilities, and increase reporting and service uptake for violence. These efforts are supported by UN Women prevalence and programmatic data, facilitating targeted, non-financial interventions (dialogues, peer networks, local forums, volunteer mentorship).

### 5.4 Digital Enablement and Data Ethics

DESA shall publish open technical guidance that embeds gender safeguards in AI and data systems: bias testing, inclusive datasets, privacy protections for survivors, and equitable access to digital services. SDG 5's technology target and CEDAW's obligation to address discriminatory customs provide a legal basis for non-financial governance measures, such as algorithmic transparency and grievance mechanisms.

### 5.5 Multi-Actor Social Dialogue and Peer Review

A74 Agency shall convene periodic multi-actor dialogues—government, employers, workers, civil society—to track progress on gender equality norms and to exchange non-financial solutions (policy templates, audit checklists, complaint procedures, and parity action plans). These are consistent with CEDAW’s reporting ethic and SDG 5’s cross-cutting nature.

### 5.6 Sectoral Adaptation Guides

GSCA will publish cooperative governance guides for key sectors—education, health, justice, finance, media—showing how to embed SGG 3 standards in bylaws, membership criteria, and oversight. Each guide references relevant global targets (e.g., leadership participation, elimination of harmful practices, recognition of unpaid care).

### 5.7 Evidence-Led Anti-Violence Framework

Institutions shall adopt an integrated prevention-protection-accountability model: code of conduct and zero-tolerance policies; safe reporting channels; survivor services directory; case-tracking dashboards; and periodic prevalence reviews aligned to WHO/UN methodologies. This model relies on governance and standards—not financing—to reduce impunity and increase accountability.

### 5.8 Pay Equity without Budgetary Spend

Organizations shall implement gender-neutral job evaluation, publish pay bands, prohibit salary history inquiries, and conduct annual internal equity reviews. These measures are regulatory and procedural; they require commitment and disclosure rather than funds. The ILO and EPIC guidance notes provide actionable templates and checklists.

### Illustrative Pathways Matrix (non-financial actions and expected outcomes)

Pathway	Non-Financial Actions	Expected Outcome	Reference Baseline
Equal Pay Standardization	Adopt job evaluation method; publish pay bands; annual equity audit	Narrowing of unexplained gender pay gap; defensible parity	ILO C100; EPIC Guidance <a href="#">[equalpayin...lition.org]</a>
Anti-Violence Governance	Zero-tolerance codes; confidential reporting; survivor referral map	Increased reporting; reduced prevalence; improved redress	WHO/UN prevalence protocols; UN Women Data Hub <a href="#">[who.int]</a> , <a href="#">[data.unwomen.org]</a>
Leadership Parity	Parity targets; transparent appointment criteria; audit of board composition	Higher representation in decision-making bodies	SDG 5 targets on participation and leadership <a href="#">[unwomen.org]</a>
Care Recognition	HR policy on flexible work; shared parental leave advocacy; time-use awareness	Reduced care penalty; improved retention	SDG 5 (unpaid care); Beijing Platform (institutional mechanisms) <a href="#">[unwomen.org]</a> , <a href="#">[un.org]</a>

Pathway	Non-Financial Actions	Expected Outcome	Reference Baseline
Digital Safeguards	Bias testing; consent/Privacy-by-Design; algorithmic appeal	Lower discrimination risk; trustworthy systems	SDG 5 (technology); CEDAW (customs/practices) <a href="https://unwomen.org">[unwomen.org]</a> , <a href="https://un.org">[un.org]</a>

## Chapter 6 — Institutional Anchoring

Institutional anchoring establishes custodianship, roles, universal adaptability, and open-access principles for SGG 3 within the Agenda 2074 ecosystem. It is intentionally designed for adoption by any public, private, or civil actor, mirroring the universality of the SDGs and the obligations articulated in CEDAW.

### 6.1 Primary Custodians within the Creativa Ecosystem

a) **GSEA (Global Social Equity Alliance)** — Advocacy lead. Responsible for global and national advocacy campaigns, public education, and normative consolidation of SGG 3; harmonizes messaging with SDG 5 and the Beijing Platform’s critical areas of concern.

b) **GSIA (Global Social Impact Alliance)** — Compliance and oversight. Establishes protocols for transparency, inclusivity, accountability, and parity audits; aligns with CEDAW’s reporting ethos and equal pay standards.

c) **GSCA (Global Social Cooperative Alliance)** — Cooperative governance. Publishes sectoral cooperative charters and parity instruments; supports member institutions in codifying gender equality practices within governance frameworks.

d) **WOSL Group** — Membership and grassroots activation. Mobilizes community programs, local dialogues, mentorship networks, and volunteer structures that transform norms and drive uptake of services.

e) **Agenda 74 Agency (A74)** — Implementation support. Convenes multi-actor dialogues, provides policy templates, and facilitates inter-institutional learning and peer review cycles.

f) **DESA (Digital Enablement)** — Digital tooling and standards. Issues open-access guidance on data ethics, AI fairness, digital inclusion, and privacy safeguards relevant to SGG 3.

### 6.2 Universal Adaptability (Open Adoption Model)

SGG 3 is expressly open for adoption by any government, private actor, civil society organization, or individual, consistent with the universal spirit of SDG 5 and the global consensus embedded in CEDAW and the Beijing Platform. No licensing is required; toolkits and guidance are to be published openly, enabling direct incorporation into domestic law, corporate policy, union bylaws, and community practice.





### 6.3 Roles and Responsibilities

Actor	Core Responsibilities under SGG 3	Example Instruments	Global Reference
<b>Governments</b>	Enact/align laws; prohibit discrimination; criminalize violence; mandate pay equity; ensure access to SRHR; set parity targets; collect and publish sex-disaggregated data	Equality acts; pay transparency rules; survivor protection laws; parity directives	SDG 5 targets; CEDAW Articles 2–6; Beijing critical areas (violence, decision-making, institutional mechanisms)
<b>Private Sector</b>	Adopt equal pay and non-discrimination codes; conduct gender audits; publish pay bands; ensure safe reporting; embed anti-harassment; enable flexible work; uphold data ethics	Job evaluation policies; grievance procedures; AI fairness statements	ILO C100; EPIC guidance; SDG 5 technology target
<b>Civil Society</b>	Community education; survivor advocacy; monitoring of public commitments; partnership with WOSL networks; social dialogue participation	Watchdog reports; community forums; referral directories	UN Women and WHO prevalence methods; Beijing Platform advocacy architecture
<b>Creativa Ecosystem (GSEA, GSIA, GSCA, WOSL, A74, DESA)</b>	Advocacy coordination; compliance protocols; cooperative charters; grassroots mobilization; implementation support; digital standards	GSIA parity audit protocol; GSCA sectoral guides; DESA AI fairness toolkit	Agenda 2074 internal governance; alignment to SDG 5 and CEDAW

### 6.4 Open-Access Principle

All guidance, standards, audit templates, curricula, and toolkits produced under SGG 3 shall be open-access and globally reusable, mirroring SDG practice and CEDAW’s universal applicability. Governments, enterprises, cooperatives, and communities may translate, adapt, and republish materials provided that integrity of the core standards is preserved.

### 6.5 Interoperability with Global and Regional Frameworks

Institutional anchoring requires explicit legal and policy cross-references to:

- **SDG 5 (Agenda 2030):** discrimination prohibition, violence elimination, unpaid care recognition, leadership participation, SRHR, economic rights, and technology empowerment.
- **CEDAW:** comprehensive elimination of discrimination and obligation to adopt “all appropriate measures,” including temporary special measures and institutional mechanisms.
- **Beijing Platform for Action:** strategic objectives and actions across 12 critical areas of concern, institutional arrangements, and periodic review architecture.

- **Agenda 2063 (Aspiration 6):** people-driven development and gender parity across governance and socio-economic life.

## Chapter 7 — Compliance and Governance Principles

Compliance under SGG 3 is conceived as a normative obligation rather than a discretionary policy choice. It draws its authority from Agenda 2074's governance architecture and aligns with international standards such as CEDAW, SDG 5, and the Beijing Platform for Action. The principles governing compliance are structured to ensure transparency, inclusivity, and accountability across all implementing entities—public, private, and cooperative.

The first principle is legal conformity, requiring that national legislation and institutional policies explicitly prohibit discrimination on the basis of gender and mandate equal remuneration for work of equal value. This includes codifying anti-violence provisions and parity mechanisms in governance structures. The second principle is institutional accountability, which obliges organizations to adopt gender audits, publish pay equity reports, and disclose leadership composition. These measures are procedural and governance-based, not financial, and can be enforced through GSIA's compliance protocols.

A third principle is participatory governance, ensuring that women and marginalized genders have equal voice in decision-making processes at all levels. This principle is operationalized through mandatory representation thresholds, transparent appointment criteria, and grievance mechanisms accessible to all stakeholders. Fourth, data integrity and digital ethics form a cornerstone of compliance: institutions must implement bias testing in AI systems, maintain sex-disaggregated data, and guarantee privacy protections for survivors of violence. DESA's open-access toolkits provide technical guidance for these requirements.

Finally, compliance is underpinned by open-access governance, meaning that all standards, templates, and audit protocols developed under SGG 3 are freely available for adoption and adaptation worldwide. This principle reflects Agenda 2074's commitment to universal applicability and interoperability with global frameworks. GSIA will serve as the oversight body, conducting periodic reviews and issuing compliance certifications, while GSEA and WOSL Group ensure advocacy and grassroots monitoring to reinforce accountability.

## Chapter 8 — Monitoring and Advocacy Metrics

Monitoring under SGG 3 is designed to measure advocacy success and governance performance through indicators that reflect social impact rather than financial outputs. Metrics are structured to capture progress in law, policy, institutional practice, and societal norms. They are harmonized with SDG 5 targets and CEDAW reporting obligations, ensuring global comparability and legitimacy.

Key indicators include:

- **Legislative Adoption** — Number of jurisdictions enacting gender equality laws aligned with SGG 3, including anti-violence statutes and equal pay provisions.
- **Leadership Representation** — Percentage of women and marginalized genders in decision-making bodies across public and private sectors.
- **Pay Equity** — Reduction in the gender pay gap as measured by standardized job evaluation methods and published pay bands.
- **Violence Prevalence** — Decline in reported cases of gender-based violence, tracked through national databases and WHO/UN prevalence methodologies.

- **Digital Inclusion** — Uptake of gender-responsive digital standards, including bias testing and privacy safeguards, within public and private systems.
- **Advocacy Reach** — Number of campaigns launched, media impressions, and community dialogues facilitated by WOSL Group and Agenda 74 Agency.

To strengthen clarity, these indicators can be organized in a monitoring matrix:

Indicator	Measurement Method	Target by 2074	Reference Framework
Legislative Adoption	National law review; GSIA compliance reports	100% of jurisdictions adopting core provisions	CEDAW; SDG 5
Leadership Representation	Annual parity audit	Minimum 50% representation	SDG 5; Beijing Platform
Pay Equity	Gender pay gap index	Gap reduced to <5% globally	ILO C100; EPIC guidance
Violence Prevalence	WHO/UN prevalence surveys	Reduction by 80%	UN Women; WHO
Digital Inclusion	DESA compliance checklist	Universal adoption of bias safeguards	SDG 5 technology target

Monitoring will be complemented by advocacy metrics, such as the number of policy endorsements secured, partnerships established, and public awareness campaigns executed. These metrics ensure that advocacy remains evidence-driven and outcome-oriented, reinforcing the principle that gender equality is both a legal mandate and a societal imperative.

## Chapter 9 — Risk and Mitigation

Advocacy for gender equality routinely encounters multidimensional risks that impede normative uptake, legal reform, and institutional practice. The following narrative identifies principal risk categories and prescribes mitigation strategies anchored in established global frameworks and empirical evidence.

The first risk is cultural resistance and entrenched social norms. Societal expectations around gender roles—especially the undervaluation of unpaid care and the normalization of male authority in private and public spheres—can obstruct reform and limit women’s participation in leadership and decision-making. SDG 5 explicitly recognizes the need to value unpaid care and to ensure full participation in leadership, while the Beijing Platform for Action sets out a comprehensive agenda to change harmful stereotypes and strengthen institutional mechanisms for women’s advancement. Mitigation requires long-horizon social dialogue, curriculum integration, and normative campaigns that are evidence-based and locally adapted, utilizing WOSL Group’s grassroots activation and GSEA’s advocacy instruments to shift perceptions without provoking backlash.

A second risk is misinformation and disinformation, including technology-facilitated harassment and coordinated online campaigns that undermine women’s rights and public confidence in gender reforms. SDG 5’s technology target and CEDAW’s obligation to address customs and practices provide

a legal and policy basis for digital safeguards; DESA's role is to publish open technical guidance for bias testing, privacy protections, and grievance mechanisms. Mitigation entails algorithmic transparency, rapid response fact-checking, trusted messenger networks, and survivor-centered digital conduct codes that institutions can adopt without financial outlay.

A third risk is **political inertia and fragmented governance**, where lack of enforcement or inconsistent institutional mandates dilute the impact of adopted laws and policies. CEDAW's reporting duties and the Beijing Platform's periodic reviews counteract inertia by imposing structured accountability cycles. Mitigation uses GSIA's compliance protocols—parity audits, public reporting, and certification—to standardize transparency and ensure that legal commitments translate into practice.

A fourth risk is **persistent economic inequities**, manifesting as wage gaps, occupational segregation, and motherhood penalties. The ILO and the Equal Pay International Coalition (EPIC) document average global gaps around 20–22 percent and provide practical pay-equity measures, including gender-neutral job evaluation and transparency in pay bands. Mitigation is regulatory and procedural: institutions implement job evaluation methods, prohibit salary history inquiries, and disclose pay structures; GSIA monitors outcomes, and GSEA advocates for legal codification.

A fifth risk is **violence and impunity**, with prevalence estimates indicating that nearly one in three women experience physical and/or sexual violence across their lifetime. Violence suppresses agency, deters participation, and erodes trust in institutions. WHO and UN Women provide globally recognized prevalence methodologies and data resources; mitigation is governance-centric: zero-tolerance codes, safe reporting channels, survivor referral networks, and case-tracking dashboards, aligned with national legal protections and independent oversight.

For clarity, the risk–mitigation relationship can be summarized as follows:

Risk Category	Evidentiary Basis	Governance-Centric Mitigation
Cultural resistance and stereotypes	SDG 5 targets on unpaid care and leadership; Beijing critical areas on institutional mechanisms	Community dialogues; open curricula; parity mechanisms; GSEA/WOSL campaigns; A74 peer learning
Misinformation and tech-enabled abuse	SDG 5 technology target; CEDAW obligations on customs/practices	DESA bias testing; privacy safeguards; grievance mechanisms; rapid fact-checking and trusted messengers
Political inertia and weak enforcement	CEDAW periodic reporting; Beijing review architecture	GSIA audits; public compliance reports; certification; legislative alignment and rule-making clarity
Economic inequities and pay gaps	ILO/EPIC global evidence on wage gaps and policy mechanisms	Gender-neutral job evaluation; pay band disclosure; salary-history prohibition; union dialogue; GSIA monitoring
Violence and impunity	WHO/UN Women prevalence data; global database	Zero-tolerance policies; confidential reporting; survivor services directory; case tracking; independent oversight



These mitigation prescriptions are deliberately non-financial; they rely on standards, procedures, and institutional accountability rather than resource transfers, and they are universally adaptable to national and sectoral contexts consistent with Agenda 2074's open-access principle.

## Chapter 10 — Alignment with Other Goals

SGG 3 is a cross-cutting goal whose realization conditions progress across the Social Global Goals. Alignment is therefore both substantive and operational, requiring coordinated advocacy, governance, and compliance instruments.

Gender equality directly advances **SGG 1 (Universal Access to Essential Services)** by ensuring equitable access to health, education, water and sanitation, and energy for women and marginalized genders, recognizing that discriminatory barriers often limit service uptake and quality. SDG 5 and CEDAW emphasize equal access as a rights-based obligation; Beijing's health and education areas of concern reinforce the institutional mechanisms for delivery.

It underpins **SGG 2 (Eradicating Poverty through Social Support)** by addressing structural drivers of poverty, including wage discrimination, legal exclusion from property and finance, and unpaid care burdens. SDG 5 targets on economic rights and care recognition frame the necessary reforms; ILO and EPIC guidance provides practical equal pay and workplace standards to increase income security.

Alignment with **SGG 4 (Educational Equity and Lifelong Learning)** is intrinsic: parity in access, retention, STEM participation, and leadership in academia amplifies educational outcomes across generations, echoing SDG 5's leadership target and the Beijing Platform's education and training commitments.

Gender equality is determinative for **SGG 5 (Mental Health and Well-being for All)**, as exposure to violence, wage insecurity, and exclusion are major determinants of mental health. WHO and UN Women's prevalence and impact analyses justify survivor-centered services and stigma reduction campaigns that are embedded in governance standards rather than funding programs.

For **SGG 6 (Community Resilience and Disaster Preparedness)**, gender-responsive planning increases resilience and reduces vulnerability, consonant with the Beijing Platform's areas on violence and institutional mechanisms and SDG 5's emphasis on inclusive participation and shared responsibilities.

SGG 3 accelerates **SGG 7 (Inclusive and Equitable Urban Development)** by embedding parity and safety in urban policy: equal access to housing, transport, and public spaces, and protection against violence, harassment, and digital abuse in cities. This aligns with SDG 5 targets and the Beijing Platform's institutional arrangements for decision-making.

For **SGG 8 (Social Justice and Fair Governance)**, SGG 3 supplies concrete parity and non-discrimination norms to strengthen rule-of-law systems, judicial access, and participatory governance—echoing CEDAW's insistence on “all appropriate measures” and SDG 5's legal reform targets.

SGG 3 is foundational to **SGG 9 (Eradication of Social Inequality)** through equal pay, property rights, leadership participation, and violence prevention, which reduce intersectional disparities. ILO/EPIC wage-equity guidance and WHO/UN prevalence methods translate normative objectives into enforceable practice.

It advances **SGG 10 (Decent Work for Social Empowerment)** via equal remuneration, safe workplaces, flexible arrangements, and non-discrimination codes, again supported by ILO Convention No. 100 and EPIC's toolkit for organizations and policymakers.



For **SGG 11 (Support for Youth and Children’s Development)**, parity in education, protection from violence, and recognition of care responsibilities influence developmental outcomes and intergenerational equity, aligned with SDG 5 and Beijing’s commitments.

SGG 3 strengthens **SGG 12 (Fostering Social Cohesion and Inclusivity)** by reducing discrimination and violence, thereby increasing trust, civic engagement, and collective efficacy, consistent with SDG 5’s participation targets and the Beijing Platform’s emphasis on institutional mechanisms.

It is central to **SGG 13 (Protection of Vulnerable Populations)**, as women and girls often face compounded vulnerability; prevalence data and legal standards under CEDAW guide protection and accountability frameworks.

SGG 3 aligns with **SGG 14 (Cultural and Community Identity Preservation)** by protecting women’s rights within cultural practices and ensuring that preservation agendas do not perpetuate harmful norms. CEDAW’s focus on culture and tradition provides explicit legal grounding for reform.

It supports **SGG 15 (Support for Family and Community Structures)** through recognition and redistribution of care work and the prevention of domestic violence, converging with SDG 5 and Beijing’s strategic objectives.

SGG 3 contributes to **SGG 16 (Promoting Civic Engagement and Participation)** by guaranteeing equal participation and leadership opportunities, grounded in SDG 5’s leadership target and CEDAW’s obligations for public life and representation.

Finally, SGG 3 is integral to **SGG 17 (Ethical Use of Technology for Social Benefit)**, ensuring that digital ecosystems uphold equality, protect against abuse, and eliminate algorithmic bias—consistent with SDG 5’s technology target and CEDAW’s remit to modify discriminatory practices. DESA’s open technical guidance serves as the operational bridge

## Closing Summary

SGG 3 codifies gender equality and empowerment as a universal, open-access standard that jurisdictions and institutions can adopt immediately without financial barriers. Rooted in the obligations and consensus of CEDAW, the Beijing Platform for Action, and SDG 5, it translates human rights commitments into enforceable governance practices: equal remuneration for work of equal value; parity in decision-making; survivor-centred prevention and accountability for gender-based violence; recognition and redistribution of unpaid care; and privacy-protective, bias-aware digital systems.

Within Agenda 2074’s institutional ecosystem, advocacy (GSEA), compliance (GSIA), cooperative governance (GSCA), grassroots activation (WOSL Group), implementation support (Agenda 74 Agency), and digital enablement (DESA) function as a coherent structure to ensure that equality in law becomes equality in fact. This structure responds to persistent gaps—such as the 20–22 percent global gender pay differential and the pervasive “one in three” lifetime prevalence of violence—by assigning clear responsibilities and publishing open tools for audits, reporting, and parity mechanisms.

SGG 3 is deliberately interlinked with the remaining Social Global Goals. It enables universal access to essential services, advances poverty eradication through social support, and supplies the parity and non-discrimination standards on which educational equity, decent work, social justice, and ethical technology depend. In alignment with Agenda 2063’s Aspiration 6, it positions gender equality as a



European Social Label

---

determinant of continental transformation. The result is a standards-first, evidence-led framework that any actor can apply to deliver measurable social impact by 2074.