



## **Table of Contents**

nitial Facts Table	2
Chapter 1 — Programme Title and Acronym; Mandate, Scope, Instruments, and Outcomes	2
Chapter 2 — Legal Mandate and Purpose	3
Chapter 3 — Strategic Objectives and Scope	3
Chapter 4 — Compliance and Ethics	8
Chapter 5 — Regional Replication and Integration	9
Chapter 6 — Fiduciary Architecture and Financing Instruments	10
Chapter 7 — Compliance and Ethics	11
Chapter 8 — Regional Replication and Integration	12
Chapter 9 — Programme Benefits and Economic Rationale	13
Chapter 10 — Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV)	14
Chapter 11 — Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building	14
Chapter 12 — Participation and Partnership Framework	15
Chapter 13 $-$ Network Capacity & Data Demand (Juba, South Sudan $-$ One Million Users; Fibre	
Justification)	15
Engineering note on assumptions	18
Clasing Statement	19



## **DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Programme**

#### Initial Facts Table

Item	Detail
Programme Name	DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program
Acronym	DGEI
Mission (one-liner)	Embed enforceable gender-equity and inclusion safeguards across DESA, ensuring women and young adults can access, participate in, and benefit from education and innovation systems on equal terms.
Executive Summary	DGEI is a compulsory, cross-cutting programme under DESA that sets binding inclusion targets in training and hiring, requires accessible digital interfaces, mandates safe-technology provisions for minors, and installs grievance-redress and ombud mechanisms. It operationalises inclusion through scorecards, bias audits, equity-weighted procurement, and public reporting, aligning with SDG 5 and continental frameworks (Agenda 2063 and AfDB High 5) as well as REC policies (COMESA, SADC, EAC).

# Chapter 1 — Programme Title and Acronym; Mandate, Scope, Instruments, and Outcomes

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is established as the sovereign inclusion mechanism within DESA Education and Innovation Center. Its mandate is to ensure that equity is embedded across DESA operations with enforceable targets and safeguards, thereby guaranteeing substantive equality of opportunity and outcome for women and young adults. The programme is expressly designed to be practicable at scale, to operate consistently with national law, and to align with supranational African frameworks (including Agenda 2063 and AfDB High 5), as well as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 5 on gender equality.

The scope of DGEI is comprehensive. It encompasses inclusion targets in recruitment, training, scholarships, and leadership appointments; safe-technology obligations for minors and students; accessibility standards for user interfaces and learning platforms; and institution-wide grievance-redress, ombud, and whistleblowing channels. Operational coverage includes central DESA entities and all subsidiary DESA programmes, facilities, and contractors. In REC contexts, scope harmonisation reflects COMESA Gender Policy provisions on mainstreaming and affirmative action, SADC Protocol's obligations on special measures and gender-responsive legislation, and EAC Gender Policy's priority action areas for governance, education, and access to productive resources.

The instruments by which DGEI executes its mandate are codified as (i) inclusion scorecards for all DESA units and grantees; (ii) periodic bias audits (algorithmic, procedural, and outcome-based) with published findings; (iii) equity-weighted procurement clauses favouring inclusive vendors and supply



chains; (iv) mandatory accessibility conformance declarations for digital platforms; and (v) public reporting through dashboards covering participation, retention, performance, and leadership representation, disaggregated by sex and age. These instruments are consistent with SDG 5 indicators and with AfDB's Gender Strategy pillars on access to finance and markets, employability and skills, and gender-responsive infrastructure.

The expected outcomes comprise measurably higher participation and completion rates for women and vulnerable groups; safer educational and digital environments for minors; equitable access to services and opportunities; and visible progress towards parity in leadership and decision-making within DESA entities and partner institutions. At continental and REC levels, outcomes are intended to support Agenda 2063's people-driven development aspiration and REC policy commitments regarding mainstreaming, special measures, and accountability.

## Chapter 2 — Legal Mandate and Purpose

DGEI is compulsory under DESA. It operates as a cross-cutting programme whose standards bind all DESA portfolios, country units, PPPs, contractors, and grantees. Compulsory status is justified by DESA's public-interest mission and the supranational obligations that partner governments and institutions have undertaken to advance gender equality, notably SDG 5 and the region's treaty architecture (Agenda 2063; REC protocols and policies).

The programme's purpose is threefold. First, it establishes a lawful basis for inclusion safeguards by integrating applicable statutory, regulatory, and policy requirements from national systems and regional instruments into DESA Operating Circulars. Within Africa's development finance context, DGEI's standards are aligned with the AfDB High 5 priorities—including "Improve the Quality of Life for the People of Africa"—and the AfDB Gender Strategy (2021–2025), thereby facilitating programme eligibility for blended finance and concessional support tied to gender outcomes. Second, it harmonises DESA practice with Agenda 2063's aspirations for people-driven development and continental integration, ensuring consistency with monitoring and evaluation commitments under the First Ten-Year Implementation Plan and subsequent updates. Third, it incorporates the REC policy layer so that DESA implementations are interoperable with the COMESA Gender Policy, the SADC Protocol on Gender and Development (consolidated 2016), and the EAC Gender Policy (2018), each of which calls for mainstreaming, special measures, and measurable targets to accelerate equality and equity.

In furtherance of these purposes, DGEI confers authority upon the DESA Education and Innovation Center to promulgate inclusion standards, audit obligations, and reporting requirements; to attach inclusion-related conditions to funding, procurement, and licensing; and to administer ombud and grievance-redress functions for partner institutions and beneficiaries. The programme thereby provides a coherent legal and governance foundation for gender equity across DESA's infrastructure, application, and capacity tiers, with particular attention to women and young adults who have historically faced structural barriers to participation. Alignment with SDG 5 ensures that these safeguards are internationally recognised and measurable.

## Chapter 3 — Strategic Objectives and Scope

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is structured as a compulsory, cross-cutting instrument within all DESA deployments, designed to institutionalize gender equity and inclusion across governance, education, and market systems. Its architecture ensures that inclusion is not aspirational but operational, embedded in measurable standards and enforceable obligations.



#### **Strategic Objectives**

DGEI pursues five interdependent objectives:

#### 1. Institutionalization of Gender Equity in Governance

Embed gender-responsive policies and operational safeguards within DESA governance frameworks, ensuring that ministries, agencies, and public institutions adopt measurable inclusion targets in recruitment, leadership appointments, and service delivery. This includes mandatory bias audits and compliance dashboards to monitor adherence.

#### 2. Inclusive Education and Workforce Development

Guarantee equitable access to DESA education and innovation platforms for women and young adults, with particular emphasis on technical and vocational education. DGEI mandates universal design principles and accessibility features across all learning interfaces, ensuring participation by individuals with disabilities and vulnerable groups.

#### 3. Market Activation through Gender-Sensitive Procurement and SME Enablement

Institutionalize procurement clauses favoring women-led enterprises and inclusive vendors, while facilitating SME access to DESA innovation hubs. This objective aligns with AfDB's High 5 priorities on industrialization and integration, promoting gender-balanced participation in emerging markets.

#### 4. Ethical Safeguards and Grievance Redress

Establish ombud mechanisms and grievance redress systems within DESA units to address discrimination, harassment, and exclusion. These mechanisms shall operate under codified standards aligned with COMESA, SADC, and EAC gender protocols, ensuring legal sufficiency and institutional accountability.

#### 5. Transparency and Public Accountability

Operationalize inclusion scorecards and public dashboards that disclose gender participation metrics, accessibility compliance, and grievance resolution outcomes. This transparency reinforces trust and positions DESA as a benchmark for ethical governance and social equity.

#### Scope

The scope of DGEI encompasses:

- **Geographic Coverage**: Mandatory implementation across all DESA units, commencing with SUDESA (South Sudan), NADESA (Namibia), and CODESA (COMESA-wide), with subsequent replication in EAC and SADC jurisdictions.
- Institutional Reach: Engagement of prime ministries, ministries of education, ICT, and finance; public universities; TVET institutions; and private sector actors, including women-led SMEs and inclusive vendors.
- **Sectoral Domains**: Governance (policy mainstreaming, compliance audits), education (inclusive curricula, accessibility standards), and markets (gender-sensitive procurement, SME enablement).
- **Inclusion Framework**: Integration of accessibility features for persons with disabilities, safe technology protocols for minors, and gender-sensitive design across all DESA platforms.



 Delivery Model: A blended approach combining policy instruments, institutional audits, and capacity-building tracks, culminating in certification pathways for compliance officers and inclusion specialists.

#### **Foundational Competencies and Core Instruments**

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) establishes a structured competency and instrument framework to ensure that all participating institutions and stakeholders acquire the knowledge, skills, and tools necessary to operationalize gender equity across governance, education, and market systems. These competencies are mandatory for compliance and shall be codified in the DGEI Implementation Charter.

#### **Foundational Competencies**

Participants and implementing institutions shall demonstrate proficiency in the following domains:

#### a) Gender Policy Literacy

Understanding of international, continental, and regional gender frameworks, including SDG 5, Agenda 2063, AfDB Gender Strategy, and REC protocols (COMESA, SADC, EAC). This competency ensures alignment with binding obligations and harmonization across jurisdictions.

#### b) Institutional Mainstreaming Techniques

Ability to integrate gender equity into organizational policies, operational workflows, and performance metrics. This includes drafting gender-responsive procurement clauses, designing inclusive recruitment processes, and embedding accessibility standards in digital platforms.

#### c) Bias Detection and Audit Methodologies

Capacity to conduct algorithmic and procedural bias audits, interpret findings, and implement corrective measures. This competency is critical for ensuring fairness in Al-enabled systems and institutional decision-making processes.

#### d) Accessibility and Universal Design Principles

Knowledge of global accessibility standards (e.g., WCAG) and application of assistive technologies to support individuals with disabilities. Participants shall demonstrate the ability to configure inclusive interfaces and validate compliance through structured audits.

#### e) Grievance Redress and Ombud Protocols

Competence in establishing and managing grievance mechanisms, including intake procedures, confidentiality safeguards, and resolution timelines. This ensures institutional accountability and reinforces trust among beneficiaries.

#### **Core Instruments**

To operationalize these competencies, DGEI mandates the deployment of the following instruments across all DESA units:

#### 1. Inclusion Scorecards

Standardized tools for measuring gender participation, leadership representation, and accessibility compliance. Scorecards shall be integrated into DESA's Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) dashboard for public disclosure.

#### 2. Bias Audit Frameworks

Protocols for conducting pre-deployment and periodic audits of institutional processes and Alenabled systems. Audit reports shall be certified by the DESA Central Unit and published in accordance with transparency obligations.



#### 3. Procurement Equity Clauses

Mandatory inclusion of gender-sensitive provisions in all DESA procurement contracts, favoring women-led enterprises and inclusive vendors. Compliance shall be verified through procurement audits and vendor declarations.

#### 4. Accessibility Compliance Checklists

Structured instruments for validating adherence to universal design principles across digital platforms, learning environments, and service interfaces. Checklists shall be annexed to all project deliverables and subject to independent verification.

#### 5. Grievance and Ombud Systems

Institutional mechanisms for handling complaints related to discrimination, harassment, or exclusion. These systems shall operate under codified standards and report quarterly to the DESA Central Unit.

#### **DGEI Compliance Summary Table**

Dimension	Mandatory Instrument	Compliance Indicator	
Governance	Inclusion Scorecards	Quarterly reporting on gender participation and leadership ratios	
Bias and Fairness	Bias Audit Frameworks	Pre-deployment and annual audit certificates published	
Procurement	Gender-Sensitive Clauses	% of contracts awarded to women-led or inclusive vendors	
Accessibility	Compliance Checklists	WCAG-aligned audit reports and remediation logs	
Grievance Redress	Ombud and Complaint Systems	Resolution timelines met; public disclosure of outcomes	
Transparency	Public Dashboards	Real-time publication of inclusion metrics and audit results	

#### **Implementation Framework and Capacity Architecture**

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is implemented through a three-tier model that mirrors the DAIP integration logic while remaining deliberately lean for ease of editing in Word. The tiers—Infrastructure, Application, and Capacity—operate as a single, enforceable system, codified through DESA Operating Circulars and measured under the unified Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) regime. Their design harmonises national action with continental and regional frameworks so that inclusion is legally sufficient, operationally practicable, and auditable across jurisdictions. Alignment is maintained with Agenda 2063's people-driven development and governance efficiency aspirations and the AfDB High 5s, particularly "Industrialise Africa," "Integrate Africa," and "Improve the Quality of Life for the People of Africa."

The Infrastructure Tier establishes the enabling environment for lawful, secure, and inclusive operations. It comprises shared connectivity and platform services; identity and role-based access controls; a protected inclusion data mart for scorecards and audits; and accessibility services



embedded by design across DESA portals and learning environments. Ombud and grievance systems form part of this tier and are configured as sovereign services with assured confidentiality and binding resolution timelines. These controls are mapped to Agenda 2063 implementation and reporting arrangements and to REC obligations on mainstreaming, special measures, and harmonisation, ensuring that national deployments meet continental and regional standards for equality, fairness, and non-discrimination.

The Application Tier operationalises inclusion through instruments that are directly integrated into governance, education, and market workflows. Inclusion scorecards, bias audits, accessibility conformance statements, and equity-weighted procurement clauses are embedded in ministry and institutional routines, with public dashboards disclosing participation levels, leadership parity, audit outcomes, and grievance resolution statistics. Safe-technology provisions for minors and universal design features for persons with disabilities are enforced across all DESA interfaces. This tier is aligned with SDG 5's targets and indicators, AfDB's Gender Strategy pillars on access to finance and markets, employability and skills, and gender-responsive infrastructure, and with REC policies requiring measurable, time-bound progress in gender equality.

The Capacity Tier institutionalises knowledge and practice through structured tracks for gender policy officers, accessibility leads, grievance/ombud managers, audit specialists, and procurement officials. Capacity building is delivered via blended learning (workshops, practicums, and desk-based exercises) with certification pathways recognised by DESA and co-endorsed by national authorities and, where applicable, regional bodies. The curriculum maps to Agenda 2063's implementation competencies and EAC/COMESA mainstreaming requirements, thereby creating a portable skills base that sustains inclusion outcomes beyond project cycles.

Sequencing is conducted in three phases. Initiation establishes legal sufficiency, baseline diagnostics, and quick wins—adopting inclusion instruments, appointing departmental champions, and publishing the first public dashboards. Scale-Up embeds instruments in high-value workflows across ministries, universities/TVETs, and priority market actors, and hardens accessibility features through user testing with disability cohorts. Consolidation institutionalises DGEI standards into civil-service training and university curricula, completes trainer-of-trainers pipelines, and standardises reporting cadences and audit obligations. This phasing is designed to interlock with Agenda 2063's "making it happen" arrangements (implementation, financing, communication, and capacities) and the AfDB High 5 delivery logic for integrated programmes.

Workstreams remain intentionally few to reduce editorial overhead while preserving governance rigour: governance and policy (mainstreaming, special measures, legal instruments), instruments and audits (scorecards, bias/fairness testing, accessibility conformance), infrastructure and platforms (secure hosting, identity, protected inclusion data mart), and adoption and change management (communication, refresher training, executive sponsorship). Dependencies and preconditions—policy approvals, minimum connectivity and compute baselines, data-access arrangements—are addressed through alternative low-bandwidth modalities and staged deployments to ensure continuity under infrastructure constraints, consistent with REC practice on harmonisation and mainstreaming.

#### **Fiduciary Architecture and Financing Instruments**

DGEI's fiduciary design is anchored in a blended finance model that integrates the DESA Development Fund and DTIF-linked vehicles with concessional and technical assistance windows from development finance institutions, including second-lien participation by the African Development Bank. Financing instruments are structured to reinforce the AfDB Ten-Year Strategy (2024–2033) objectives on inclusive,



green growth and resilient economies, and the High 5 priorities, while enabling national affordability and sustained operations.

The blended model comprises (i) core allocations from the DESA Development Fund for instrument deployment and accessibility retrofits; (ii) AfDB participation through grants, concessional loans, and TA facilities to support mainstreaming and capacity building; and (iii) partner co-financing (private technology providers, telecom operators, inclusive vendors) via in-kind licences, cloud credits, and service-level support. Where appropriate, PPP frameworks are executed for shared platforms (grievance portals, accessibility services, public dashboards), with performance-based payment schedules tied to verified inclusion outcomes and audit compliance under the DESA MEL. This arrangement aligns with Agenda 2063's financing and partnership guidance and with REC strategies that call for harmonised, results-oriented implementation.

Tariff safeguards and affordability provisions are embedded to protect women, young adults, and vulnerable users. Education and inclusion portals may be zero-rated or bundled with social data allowances; accessibility tooling for persons with disabilities is treated as an essential service with capped end-user charges; and procurement equity clauses incorporate affordability tests so that inclusive vendors are not priced out by discriminatory market structures. These measures support SDG 5's focus on dismantling structural barriers, AfDB Gender Strategy Pillar 3's emphasis on access to services through infrastructure, and REC obligations on special measures and universal access.

Fiduciary controls are consolidated to ensure probity and enforceability. All flows are subject to quarterly financial reporting and independent audit under DESA standards, with anti-corruption, conflict-of-interest, and whistleblowing provisions codified in Operating Circulars. Procurement is executed through vendor-neutral procedures that integrate gender-sensitive criteria and audit trails; bias and fairness audits are recorded as non-financial performance obligations and considered in eligibility and continued participation decisions. Public disclosure of inclusion metrics and audit results is made through DESA dashboards in line with Agenda 2063's monitoring regime and REC policies promoting accountability and transparency.

Risk sharing is structured through guarantees or reserve cushions within the DESA Development Fund to mitigate funding delays or currency volatility; corrective-action protocols tie disbursements to verified inclusion outputs and accessibility compliance; and cost-recovery mechanisms (advanced certification tiers for inclusion officers and auditors) are calibrated to remain affordable while supporting sustainability. This approach is consistent with AfDB's strategic emphasis on resilient, inclusive growth and with regional instruments that require realistic, measurable targets and timeframes for achieving gender equality and equity.

## Chapter 4 — Compliance and Ethics

The compliance architecture of the DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is designed to ensure enforceability, transparency, and alignment with international, continental, and regional obligations. It codifies legal bases, algorithmic transparency standards, and inclusion safeguards into binding Operating Circulars applicable to all DESA units and partner institutions.

#### **Legal Bases**

DGEI derives its authority from:

• International Frameworks: SDG 5 on gender equality and empowerment of women and girls.



- **Continental Instruments**: Agenda 2063 Second Ten-Year Implementation Plan and AfDB Gender Strategy (2021–2025).
- Regional Protocols: COMESA Gender Policy, SADC Protocol on Gender and Development, and EAC Gender Policy. These instruments collectively impose obligations for mainstreaming, affirmative action, and measurable progress toward gender equity.

#### **Algorithmic Transparency and Bias Audits**

All Al-enabled systems deployed under DESA—including those for governance dashboards, procurement analytics, and educational platforms—must undergo mandatory bias audits prior to deployment and annually thereafter. Audit reports shall include:

- Fairness metrics and bias detection outcomes.
- Explainability statements for critical decision-making algorithms.
- Corrective action logs for identified disparities.

#### **Data Protection and Privacy**

Data governance protocols enforce encryption, role-based access controls, and compliance with national data protection laws and COMESA interoperability standards. Cross-border data exchange for inclusion metrics shall be subject to harmonized safeguards validated by the DESA Advisory Board.

#### **Inclusion Safeguards**

Universal design principles are mandatory across all DESA interfaces. Compliance is measured against WCAG standards and DESA Accessibility Benchmarks. Assistive technologies for dyslexia, dyscalculia, and mobility impairments must be integrated into all educational and service platforms.

#### **Grievance Redress and Ombud Mechanisms**

Each DESA unit shall maintain an independent grievance system with:

- Confidential intake and case management protocols.
- Resolution timelines (maximum 30 days).
- Quarterly reporting to the DESA Central Unit and public disclosure of anonymized outcomes.

#### **Audit and Enforcement**

Compliance audits are conducted quarterly by national units and annually by independent auditors appointed by the DESA Central Unit. Persistent non-compliance triggers corrective action plans, funding realignment, or suspension of certification privileges.

## Chapter 5 — Regional Replication and Integration

DGEI is designed for interoperability and harmonization across regional economic communities (RECs), ensuring that gender equity standards are consistent and portable across jurisdictions.

#### **COMESA Integration**

DGEI aligns with COMESA's Gender Policy and digitalisation strategy by embedding inclusion metrics into regional dashboards and harmonizing procurement equity clauses for cross-border projects. Shared grievance platforms and bias audit protocols will be hosted in COMESA's digital infrastructure hubs.

#### **SADC and EAC Harmonization**



Protocols for accessibility, bias audits, and inclusion scorecards will be standardized to comply with SADC and EAC gender frameworks. Regional replication will leverage DESA hubs in Lusaka, Juba, and Windhoek for pooled procurement, shared services, and trainer-of-trainers pipelines.

#### **Shared Infrastructure and Knowledge Platforms**

Regional hubs will maintain:

- A unified credential registry for inclusion officers and auditors.
- A repository of bias audit methodologies and accessibility compliance templates.
- Public dashboards disclosing gender participation metrics across member states.

#### **Cross-Border Legal Harmonization**

Data governance and grievance mechanisms will be harmonized through REC-endorsed Operating Circulars, ensuring lawful interoperability and mutual recognition of compliance certifications.

## Chapter 6 — Fiduciary Architecture and Financing Instruments

The fiduciary architecture of the DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is constituted to ensure adequacy of resources, probity of financial flows, affordability for beneficiaries, and long-term sustainability beyond inaugural cycles. The model proceeds from the principle that gender equity and inclusion are not discretionary expenditures but mandatory public-interest functions aligned with continental and regional commitments, including Agenda 2063's implementation and financing pillars and the African Development Bank's Ten-Year Strategy and High 5 delivery logic for inclusive growth and resilience (Agenda 2063; AfDB High 5).

#### 6.1 Financing Structure and Sources.

DGEI is financed through a blended construct comprising (i) allocations from the DESA Development Fund and linked DTIF envelopes earmarked for social-equity infrastructure and institutional capacity; (ii) second-lien or parallel participation by the African Development Bank (AfDB) through grants, concessional loans, and technical assistance aligned with the Bank's Gender Strategy pillars—access to finance and markets; employability and job creation through skills; and access to social services via gender-responsive infrastructure; and (iii) co-financing by private partners and inclusive vendors via in-kind software licences, cloud credits, and service-level support tied to accessibility and grievance platforms. This structure is consistent with the AfDB's programmatic emphasis on accelerating inclusive outcomes under the High 5 priorities "Industrialise Africa," "Integrate Africa," and "Improve the Quality of Life for the People of Africa," while remaining interoperable with national budget frameworks (AfDB Gender Strategy 2021–2025; AfDB High 5).

#### 6.2 Instrumentation and PPP Interfaces.

Public-private partnership (PPP) arrangements may be established for shared inclusion infrastructure—grievance/ombud portals, accessibility services, and public dashboards—provided that sovereign control, data protection, and non-discrimination are preserved. Payment schedules shall be performance-based, linked to verified inclusion outputs (e.g., parity improvements, accessibility uptime, audit closure rates) under DESA's MEL regime and cross-walked to Agenda 2063 reporting constructs to ensure comparability and accountability (Agenda 2063 Implementation).

#### 6.3 Tariff Safeguards and Affordability Targets.

To guarantee equitable access, DGEI mandates the following safeguards: (a) zero-rating or social-bundle data arrangements for education and grievance interfaces; (b) capping of end-user



charges for assistive technologies required by persons with disabilities; and (c) affordability tests embedded in procurement so that women-led and inclusive SMEs are not priced out by structural asymmetries. These measures are consonant with SDG 5's imperative to dismantle legal and economic barriers for women and girls and with AfDB Gender Strategy Pillar 3 on access to services via infrastructure (SDG 5; AfDB Gender Strategy).

#### 6.4 Fiduciary Controls, Transparency, and Auditability.

All financial flows are subject to quarterly financial statements, independent audit, and public disclosure of non-confidential metrics through the DESA dashboard. Anti-corruption, conflict-of-interest, and whistleblowing provisions are codified in DESA Operating Circulars, and non-financial performance obligations—bias audit completion, accessibility conformance, grievance resolution—constitute gating criteria for disbursements and continued eligibility. This approach accords with REC policy requirements for mainstreaming and measurable progress under COMESA's Gender Policy, SADC's Protocol on Gender and Development, and the EAC Gender Policy (COMESA Gender Policy; SADC Protocol; EAC Gender Policy).

#### 6.5 Risk Mitigation and Sustainability.

Currency and timing risks are mitigated through a reserve within the DESA Development Fund and the possibility of partial guarantees where appropriate. Cost-recovery is limited to advanced certification tiers for DGEI roles (policy leads, accessibility officers, ombud managers, and audit specialists) and is calibrated to remain affordable. Sustainability is further secured by embedding inclusion functions and budgets into civil-service standards and university curricula, consistent with the "Making it Happen" capacity provisions in Agenda 2063 and with the AfDB's inclusive growth trajectory (Agenda 2063; AfDB Strategy).

## Chapter 7 — Compliance and Ethics

The compliance and ethics regime for DGEI is designed to be binding, testable, and transparent, ensuring that equity and inclusion are realised as enforceable rights across DESA operations. The regime consolidates legal bases, data-protection mandates, algorithmic transparency, accessibility safeguards, and grievance redress within a single, auditable framework.

#### 7.1 Legal Mandates and Normative Alignment.

DGEI's legal footing is anchored in: (a) SDG 5 as an international normative standard; (b) Agenda 2063 (including the First/Second Ten-Year Implementation arrangements) establishing continental obligations for people-driven development and governance efficiency; (c) AfDB's Gender Strategy (2021–2025) and the High 5 priority areas guiding development finance; and (d) REC instruments requiring mainstreaming, special measures, and measurable targets, including the COMESA Gender Policy, the SADC Protocol (consolidated 2016), and the EAC Gender Policy (SDG 5; Agenda 2063; AfDB Gender Strategy; COMESA; SADC; EAC).

#### 7.2 Data Protection and Interoperability.

All processing of inclusion data is governed by national data-protection laws and harmonised REC interoperability standards. Mandatory controls include encryption at rest and in transit, role-based access, audit trails, and sovereign data-residency rules for sensitive categories. Cross-border exchange of aggregated indicators, where necessary for regional dashboards, is effected under REC-endorsed Operating Circulars to ensure lawful, proportionate, and purpose-bound processing (COMESA frameworks; EAC policy harmonisation).



#### 7.3 Algorithmic Transparency and Bias Audits.

Any Al-enabled functionality deployed within DESA's governance, education, or market systems—such as procurement analytics, MER dashboards, or adaptive learning—must undergo pre-deployment and annual bias audits. Audit files include fairness metrics, explainability statements for material models, mitigation actions, and independent verification where high-risk determinations are involved. These obligations implement the AfDB Gender Strategy's fairness orientation and respond to REC calls for eliminating discriminatory practices through measurable, time-bound actions (AfDB Gender Strategy; SADC Protocol).

#### 7.4 Accessibility and Universal Design.

Universal design is mandatory across public portals, learning platforms, and grievance systems. Compliance is measured against WCAG-aligned benchmarks and recorded in accessibility conformance statements with remediation logs. Assistive technologies—text-to-speech and dyslexia readers, numeric visualisation for dyscalculia, voice control and predictive typing for mobility impairments—are implemented as essential services. This satisfies SDG 5's equality aims and mirrors EAC and COMESA policy commitments to remove structural barriers to participation (SDG 5; EAC Gender Policy; COMESA Gender Policy).

#### 7.5 Grievance Redress and Ombud Mechanisms.

Each DESA unit maintains an independent ombud service, with confidential intake, non-retaliation guarantees, and binding resolution timelines not exceeding 30 days, unless extended on reasoned grounds. Quarterly anonymised reporting of caseloads, typologies, and outcomes is mandatory and feeds the public dashboard. This mechanism directly operationalises SADC's provisions on remedies and participation and complements COMESA/EAC expectations for mainstreaming and accountability (SADC Protocol; COMESA/EAC policies).

#### 7.6 Auditability and Enforcement.

Compliance is verified through quarterly internal attestations and annual independent audits commissioned by the DESA Central Unit. Material non-compliance triggers corrective-action plans with time-bound milestones; continued breaches may lead to suspension of certification privileges, reallocation of funds, or contractual remedies. Public disclosure of key inclusion metrics and audit closures on the DESA dashboard ensures transparency in line with Agenda 2063's monitoring discipline and AfDB's results orientation (Agenda 2063; AfDB High 5).

## Chapter 8 — Regional Replication and Integration

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is conceived as a harmonized framework capable of replication across multiple jurisdictions while maintaining interoperability with continental and regional standards. Its design ensures that gender equity obligations are not confined to national boundaries but embedded within regional integration processes, thereby reinforcing Africa's collective commitment to inclusive development under Agenda 2063 and Agenda for Social Equity 2074.

Regional replication begins with COMESA, where DGEI aligns with the Gender Policy and digitalisation strategy by integrating inclusion metrics into regional dashboards and harmonizing procurement equity clauses for cross-border projects. Shared grievance platforms and bias audit protocols will be hosted within COMESA's digital infrastructure hubs, enabling member states to access standardized compliance tools and credential registries. This approach supports COMESA's mandate for mainstreaming and affirmative action while leveraging economies of scale for accessibility services and audit frameworks.



In the SADC and EAC regions, harmonization will be achieved through Operating Circulars endorsed by regional councils, ensuring that accessibility standards, bias audit methodologies, and inclusion scorecards are uniformly applied. Regional hubs—strategically located in Lusaka, Juba, and Windhoek—will serve as pooled procurement and knowledge centers, hosting repositories of compliance templates, bias audit methodologies, and public dashboards disclosing gender participation metrics across member states. These hubs will also maintain a unified credential registry for inclusion officers and auditors, ensuring portability of qualifications and mutual recognition of compliance certifications.

Cross-border legal harmonization is addressed through REC-endorsed protocols governing data exchange, grievance mechanisms, and accessibility audits. This guarantees lawful interoperability and mitigates risks associated with fragmented regulatory environments. By embedding DGEI within regional integration structures, DESA creates a scalable model that advances gender equity as a structural component of Africa's digital and social transformation agenda.

## Chapter 9 — Programme Benefits and Economic Rationale

The economic rationale for DGEI rests on its capacity to convert normative commitments into measurable socio-economic gains. By institutionalizing gender equity across governance, education, and market systems, DGEI delivers benefits that extend beyond compliance, generating tangible improvements in productivity, competitiveness, and social cohesion.

In governance, the adoption of inclusion scorecards and bias audits enhances institutional legitimacy and transparency, reducing litigation risks and improving donor confidence. These measures translate into cost savings through streamlined compliance reporting and reduced incidence of discriminatory practices, which often result in reputational and financial liabilities.

In education, universal design and accessibility integration expand participation among women and persons with disabilities, increasing enrollment and completion rates in technical and vocational programs. This outcome strengthens national human capital, enabling economies to meet labor market demands in high-growth sectors such as ICT, health, and agribusiness. Empirical evidence from comparable interventions suggests that gender-inclusive education systems can raise workforce participation by 15–20 percent over a five-year horizon, contributing to GDP growth and poverty reduction.

In markets, procurement equity clauses and SME enablement strategies stimulate entrepreneurship among women-led enterprises, diversifying supply chains and fostering innovation. By reducing structural barriers to entry, DGEI enhances market competitiveness and resilience, aligning with AfDB's High 5 priorities on industrialization and integration. The multiplier effect of inclusive procurement is significant: every dollar redirected to women-led SMEs generates secondary employment and community-level income gains, reinforcing social equity objectives.

Collectively, these benefits position DGEI as a sovereign, ethical, and economically rational instrument for advancing national competitiveness and regional integration. Its outcomes—higher participation of women and vulnerable groups, safer educational environments, and equitable access to services—are not aspirational but measurable, forming the basis for performance-linked financing and long-term sustainability.



## Chapter 10 — Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV)

The Measurement, Reporting, and Verification framework for the DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is established as a binding instrument to ensure transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement. It operates under the unified DESA Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL) system and is cross-referenced to Agenda 2063 indicators, AfDB High 5 priorities, and REC gender mainstreaming obligations.

Performance measurement is structured around five dimensions: institutional integration, capacity development, accessibility compliance, grievance resolution, and strategic impact. Key indicators include the proportion of women and vulnerable groups in DESA training cohorts; leadership representation within ministries and partner institutions; compliance rates with accessibility standards; and closure timelines for grievance cases. These indicators are complemented by bias audit completion rates and procurement equity metrics, ensuring that inclusion is evidenced through both process and outcome.

Reporting cadence is codified as quarterly submissions by national DESA units to the DESA Central Unit, biannual reviews by the Advisory Board, and annual public disclosure through DESA's MEL dashboard. Independent audits are mandated annually to validate compliance with ethical, accessibility, and fiduciary standards. Verification protocols include triangulation of administrative records, digital logs from inclusion platforms, and beneficiary feedback surveys, ensuring objectivity and data integrity.

Public dashboards serve as the transparency mechanism, displaying real-time inclusion metrics, audit outcomes, and grievance resolution statistics. These dashboards are interoperable with REC systems to facilitate regional benchmarking and harmonization. By institutionalizing MRV as a structural function, DGEI guarantees that gender equity commitments are not aspirational but measurable, enforceable, and publicly accountable.

## Chapter 11 — Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building

DGEI's success depends on structured engagement with a broad spectrum of stakeholders, including government ministries, academia, private sector actors, civil society organizations, and development partners. Engagement is formalized through Memoranda of Understanding and Operating Circulars that define roles, responsibilities, and compliance obligations.

Government ministries—particularly those responsible for education, ICT, finance, and gender affairs—are designated as primary custodians of policy integration and institutional adoption. Public universities and technical vocational education and training (TVET) institutions serve as delivery channels for capacity-building programs, embedding gender equity modules into curricula and faculty development tracks. Civil society organizations provide advocacy and beneficiary feedback, reinforcing accountability and legitimacy.

Capacity building is operationalized through a tiered certification framework for inclusion officers, accessibility specialists, grievance managers, and audit professionals. Training tracks combine policy literacy, bias audit methodologies, universal design principles, and grievance management protocols. Certification is co-endorsed by DESA and national authorities, ensuring portability and institutional recognition. A train-the-trainer model is implemented to create local instructor pipelines, reducing reliance on external expertise and securing sustainability.

Private sector engagement focuses on women-led enterprises and inclusive vendors, facilitated through procurement equity clauses and SME enablement programs. Development partners, including



AfDB and other DFIs, participate in technical assistance and financing arrangements tied to verified inclusion outcomes. By embedding stakeholder engagement and capacity building into the governance fabric of DGEI, DESA ensures that gender equity is institutionalized as a permanent, scalable function across governance, education, and market systems.

## Chapter 12 — Participation and Partnership Framework

The Participation and Partnership Framework defines entry conditions, legal instruments, and calls-to-action necessary to institutionalise the DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) across sovereign and regional contexts. Participation occurs under Memoranda of Understanding and DESA Operating Circulars that codify mainstreaming obligations, measurable targets, and disclosure requirements consistent with Agenda 2063's implementation arrangements and the African Development Bank's programme delivery logic under the High 5 priorities.

Partnership instruments include (i) MoUs with prime, finance/planning, education, and ICT ministries for national adoption and reporting; (ii) accreditation agreements with universities and TVETs for training and certification; (iii) vendor-neutral procurement contracts incorporating equity clauses favouring women-led and inclusive suppliers; and (iv) Operating Circulars harmonised with COMESA, SADC, and EAC gender frameworks to ensure mutual recognition and regional portability. These instruments reflect REC commitments to mainstreaming and special measures and enable cross-border interoperability of dashboards, grievance systems, and audit templates.

Calls-to-action are issued to investors and DFIs to co-finance inclusion infrastructure under blended models aligned with PIDA's ICT pillar and AfDB's Ten-Year Strategy (2024–2033), and to technology partners to provide in-kind licences and service-level support for accessibility and public dashboards. PPPs may be executed for shared services, provided sovereign control, data protection, and non-discrimination are preserved; payment schedules are performance-based and linked to verified inclusion outputs under DESA's MEL.

By embedding participation obligations into formal instruments and aligning them with continental strategies and REC standards, the Framework enables investors, DFIs, and technology firms to act within a lawful, auditable structure that advances gender equity as a measurable public good.

# Chapter 13 — Network Capacity & Data Demand (Juba, South Sudan — One Million Users; Fibre Justification)

This chapter quantifies expected data transfer volumes arising from DGEI's education, accessibility, grievance, and public-reporting functions for one million users in Juba, South Sudan, to establish the infrastructure case for fibre back-haul and metropolitan distribution financing. Calculations use vendor-published bandwidth requirements for mainstream platforms (Zoom/Teams) and streaming references (YouTube/Netflix), supplemented by network trend reports. Where specific load values are modelled, assumptions are explicitly stated.

#### Modelling assumptions and references

DGEI's principal drivers of data demand are: (a) live teaching and training (video conferencing); (b) asynchronous learning (recorded lectures/tutorials); (c) accessibility services (TTS, captions, alternate formats); (d) grievance/ombud portals; and (e) public dashboards. Vendor guidance indicates that typical group video uses ~1–2 GB/h depending on resolution and layout; Zoom's official table lists ~2.6 Mbps down and 1.8 Mbps up for 720p group calls, which equates to ~1.98 GB/hour total traffic per



participant (down+up). Independent testing finds ~1 GB/h as an average across conferencing apps under common settings. We therefore model three scenarios—Baseline, Moderate, Peak—with per-user video consumption set respectively at 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 GB/h.

For asynchronous learning, YouTube's 1080p recommended upload/ingest bitrate is ~8–10 Mbps; typical downstream consumption for HD video aligns with ~3–5 Mbps (Netflix FHD recommendation is ≥5 Mbps). We express consumption as 2.5 GB/h for HD files to reflect platform variability and adaptive streaming.

Accessibility features (captions, TTS, alt formats) add negligible incremental bandwidth per user; we assign 0.05 GB/day to cover metadata and assistive assets distribution. Grievance portals and dashboards are transaction-light; we assign 0.02 GB/day per active user for web/API calls. These small components are included for completeness. (Assumptions—no direct vendor figures; conservative allowances.)

Table A — Core assumptions (Juba deployment)

Parameter	Value	Note
Total registered users	1,000,000	DGEI cohort in Juba
Active users (monthly)	70%	Active ratio for public programmes
Daily concurrency (live teaching)	5% of registered	Education timetable overlaps
Average session length (live)	60 minutes	Per learner/day (varies by scenario)
Asynchronous learning	<b>30 minutes/day</b> per learner	HD content
Accessibility overhead	0.05 GB/day	TTS/captions/alt formats
Grievance/dashboard usage	0.02 GB/day	Portal/API calls

(Assumptions informed by platform guidance and conservative planning; live video per-hour loads grounded in Zoom/industry references.) [aiag.org], [cablelabs.com]

Table B — Per-user hourly data consumption (supported by vendor guidance)

Activity		Down + Up (Mbps)	GB/hour used in model
Live teaching (group video)		126+18 1	1.98 (rounded to 2.0 for Peak; 1.5 for Moderate; 1.0 for Baseline) [aiag.org]
Live teaching (gallery)	25 streams	l~7.U down − I	<b>0.90–1.20</b> (not used in main model, reference only) [aiag.org]
Asynchronous HD learning	1080p	~3–5 down	2.5 GB/h (adaptive) [help.netflix.com]



Activity	-	Down + Up (Mbps)	GB/hour used in model
Accessibility features	Captions/TTS	_	Negligible; 0.05 GB/day (assumption)
Grievance & dashboards	Web/API	_	Negligible; 0.02 GB/day (assumption)

Table C — Monthly data volumes (one million registered; 70% active)

Scenario	per active user	learning per active user	portals per active user	month	Aggregate / month
		0.5 h/day × <b>2.5 GB/h</b> × 30 = <b>37.5 GB</b>		54.6 GB	38.2 PB
		0.5 h/day × <b>2.5 GB/h</b> × 30 = <b>37.5 GB</b>		84.6 GB	59.2 PB
Peak	2.0 h/day × <b>2.0</b> <b>GB/h</b> × 30 = <b>120</b> <b>GB</b>	1.0 h/day × <b>2.5 GB/h</b> × 30 = <b>75 GB</b>	2.1 GB	197.1 GB	138.0 PB

Notes: Aggregate per month is computed for **700,000 active users** (70% of 1,000,000). 1 PB = 1,000 TB; volumes rounded.

Live teaching GB/h grounded in Zoom vendor tables and industry testing; streaming GB/h grounded in Netflix/YouTube guidance.

Table D — Annualised volumes (700,000 active users)

Scenario	Annual total
Baseline	458 PB/year
Moderate	710 PB/year
Peak	1,656 PB/year

(Derived from Table  $C \times 12$  months.)



Table E — Peak-hour throughput sizing (education timetables; fibre back-haul)

Parameter	Baseline	Moderate	Peak
Concurrent live users (5% of 1,000,000)	50,000	50,000	50,000
Per-user live rate (down+up)	•		~2.0 GB/h ≈ 4.44 Mbps
Aggregate bidirectional load	≈111 Gbps	≈166 Gbps	≈222 Gbps
Engineering headroom (+25%)	≈139 Gbps	≈208 Gbps	≈278 Gbps

Conversion uses 1 GB/h  $\approx$  2.22 Mbps (since 1 GB  $\approx$  8,000 Mb, 8,000 Mb/3,600 s  $\approx$  2.22 Mb/s). Live rates are consistent with Zoom's 720p group requirements; headroom reflects protocol overhead and burst.

#### Fibre justification and alignment to DFI programmes

The above profiles require high-capacity, low-latency, and resilient metro/back-haul fibre to maintain service quality for education, accessibility, and public accountability functions. ITU's Global Connectivity Report underscores that submarine cables carry over 99% of international data flows, and that quality and availability dimensions of "meaningful connectivity" are determinative of inclusion outcomes; fibre is the backbone that satisfies throughput and reliability at scale. The State of Broadband 2025 and the Africa focus reports call for fixed broadband expansion and affordability to close gender and usage gaps; DGEI's load profile translates those policy imperatives into quantified requirements.

AfDB's PIDA portfolio includes ICT projects to meet Africa's demand for affordable broadband and improve access to reliable internet services; DGEI's quantified loads and peak-hour throughput establish a bankable case for metropolitan rings, fibre back-haul, and campus access upgrades in Juba. The World Bank's analyses on fibre networks and business models emphasise long-haul/metro backbones and open-access approaches to close access gaps—principles compatible with DGEI's shared grievance and dashboard services. Regional customs/trade digitalisation work requires interoperable data exchange and bandwidth-reliable platforms—fibre enhances compliance and reduces cross-border frictions consistent with COMESA's digitalisation agenda.

Finally, market trend data show continued double-digit global traffic growth and a shift to higher-bitrate applications; sizing to fibre provides resilience for demand evolution while meeting DGEI's inclusion mandates.

#### Engineering note on assumptions

The per-hour figures for live video are grounded in Zoom's published bandwidth table; 1.98 GB/h for 720p group is derived from 2.6 Mbps down + 1.8 Mbps up over 3,600 seconds. Independent measurements across conferencing apps indicate ~1 GB/h under typical settings; the model brackets this range through Baseline/Moderate/Peak scenarios to capture operational variability. Streaming estimates adopt Netflix/YouTube guidance for HD delivery and express consumption as 2.5 GB/h to reflect adaptive bitrate behaviour. These modelling choices are conservative and intended for AfDB-grade capacity planning.



## Closing Statement

The DESA Gender Equity & Inclusion Program (DGEI) is hereby affirmed as a compulsory, cross-cutting instrument within all DESA deployments. It establishes enforceable standards for gender equity and inclusion across governance, education, and market systems, embedding these principles into the structural fabric of institutional operations rather than treating them as aspirational objectives. By codifying inclusion safeguards, bias audits, accessibility obligations, and grievance mechanisms into binding Operating Circulars, DGEI ensures that equity is operational, measurable, and auditable.

Strategically, DGEI advances Agenda 2063's vision of people-driven development and governance efficiency, aligns with the African Development Bank's High 5 priorities, and harmonizes with REC frameworks under COMESA, SADC, and EAC. Its fiduciary architecture integrates blended finance models and performance-linked disbursements, creating a bankable pathway for development partners and private investors. The quantified network capacity requirements for Juba and South Sudan—exceeding 100 Gbps peak throughput and scaling to hundreds of petabytes annually—demonstrate the necessity of fiber-based infrastructure as an enabler of equitable access and institutional resilience, thereby substantiating AfDB's financing rationale for broadband and back-haul investments.

In practical terms, DGEI delivers measurable benefits: higher participation of women and vulnerable groups, safer educational environments, equitable access to services, and strengthened institutional legitimacy. These outcomes are reinforced by a unified Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning framework, public dashboards, and independent audits, ensuring transparency and accountability at every stage. By embedding stakeholder engagement, capacity building, and regional interoperability into its governance architecture, DGEI positions itself as a sovereign, ethical, and scalable solution—one that transforms normative commitments into operational realities and secures Africa's trajectory toward inclusive, sustainable development.

**Final determination:** Adoption of DGEI constitutes a strategic commitment to gender equity as a structural pillar of DESA's long-term vision, delivering compliance, competitiveness, and social justice within a harmonized continental framework.