



# **Table of Contents**

Programme Facts Table	2
Chapter 1 — Programme Title, Mandate, Scope, Instruments, and Outcomes	2
Chapter 2 — Legal Mandate and Purpose	3
Chapter 3 — Strategic Objectives	4
Chapter 4. Institutional Architecture and Governance	12
Chapter 5. Implementation Framework	14
Chapter 6. Fiduciary Architecture and Financing Instruments	16
Chapter 7. Compliance and Ethics	17
Chapter 8. Regional Replication and Integration	18
Chapter 9. Programme Benefits and Economic Rationale	19
Chapter 10. Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV)	22
Chapter 11. Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building	23
Chapter 12. Participation and Partnership Framework	24
Chapter 13. Fiber Optics Capacity and Funding Rationale (DIHAP)	26
Final Word: Fiber as the Sovereign Enabler for DIHAP and Beyond	30



# DESA Innovation Hubs & Acceleration Programme

### **Programme Facts Table**

Item	Detail
Programme Name	DESA Innovation Hubs & Acceleration Program
Acronym	DIHAP
Mission (one-sentence)	Establish sovereign innovation hubs and outcome-based accelerators that localise technology, build public-purpose applications, and seed SMEs ready for procurement by ministries and municipalities.
Executive Orientation	DIHAP is the innovation and commercialisation engine within DESA: it converts institutional needs into bankable products and ventures, links national markets to global knowledge networks, and treats fibre optics as a foundational enabler to connect hundreds of millions of users and creators to world-scale opportunity.
Institutional Linkages	Explicitly integrated with GSEA components: UCE (Unity Center of Excellence) for applied research and translational prototypes; UACE (Unity Academy Center of Excellence) for advanced training, fellowships, and doctoral pipelines feeding hub leadership, venture CTOs, and public-sector product owners.

# Chapter 1 — Programme Title, Mandate, Scope, Instruments, and Outcomes

The DESA Innovation Hubs & Acceleration Program (DIHAP) is created as a sovereign instrument to institutionalise innovation capacity within DESA deployments and their host governments. Its mandate is to establish and operate a network of city and campus hubs, accelerators, and regulatory sandboxes that localise technology, develop public-purpose digital products, and create a continuous pipeline of SMEs capable of servicing ministries, municipalities, and state-owned enterprises. DIHAP treats connectivity—specifically fibre optic back-haul and metro distribution—as a structural precondition for scale, given that innovation, product iteration, cloud services, and international collaboration all depend on high-throughput, low-latency networks to connect national talent pools with global markets and partners.

The scope of DIHAP comprises four operational domains. First, hubs and nodes: physical and virtual spaces situated in capital cities, secondary cities, and university campuses, with standardised equipment, secure data access, and co-working and fabrication capabilities. Second, acceleration and seed windows: structured cohorts with outcome-based grants and convertible seed instruments to derisk early productisation aligned with public-sector demand. Third, regulatory sandboxes: controlled



environments overseen by competent authorities to test new services—identity, payments, geospatial, health, agriculture, climate analytics—under time-bound waivers and public safeguards. Fourth, public-purpose product development: a procurement-ready pipeline of civic-tech modules, APIs, and complete solutions that can be contracted by ministries and municipalities under vendor-neutral frameworks.

The programme's instruments include hub charters, performance compacts with universities and anchor firms, outcome-based grants tied to measurable adoption or revenue thresholds, and a repository of public digital goods licensed for sovereign reuse and local commercialisation. The investment architecture is designed to crowd-in private capital while preserving public-interest safeguards; intellectual property arising from publicly funded modules is stewarded to balance openness with viable business models for local SMEs. Hubs operate with a standard operating manual aligned to DESA's Institutional Governance Manual and report through unified Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning systems. DIHAP's accelerators are synchronised with UCE translational research projects and UACE advanced training tracks, so that research outputs and doctoral work can be rapidly converted into minimum viable products and investable ventures without duplication of effort.

Outcomes are expressed in operational and socioeconomic terms. Operationally, DIHAP produces procurement-ready solutions adopted by public institutions, with measured reductions in service delivery times, error rates, and lifecycle costs. Economically, it generates new ventures and jobs in software and services, expands the SME supplier base, and raises local capture of digital value chains. Socially, it increases equitable participation by women and youth founders and localises critical capabilities so that ministries and municipalities are no longer dependent on opaque imports or one-off consulting engagements. By embedding innovation directly within sovereign systems, DIHAP ensures that national priorities—education, health, agriculture, climate resilience, justice, and municipal services—are continuously matched with agile, locally accountable technology supply.

# Chapter 2 — Legal Mandate and Purpose

DIHAP is established as a **compulsory** programme under the DESA portfolio. Its compulsory status is warranted by the systemic role that innovation plays in enabling every DESA programme—governance modernisation, education integration, market activation, climate analytics, public finance, infrastructure, and security—to evolve from static deployments to living systems that are improved by local talent over time. The legal foundation is provided by the DESA Charter and the Institutional Governance Manual, which together empower the DESA Central Unit to accredit hubs and accelerators, to promulgate Operating Circulars on hub governance and sandbox operation, and to enforce fiduciary, ethical, and accessibility standards across all DIHAP activities.

The purpose of DIHAP is fourfold. First, to institutionalise innovation capacity as a permanent function within sovereign systems by creating hubs and accelerators that are co-owned or co-governed with public universities and competent authorities, ensuring continuity beyond donor cycles. Second, to align innovation outputs with national and regional development agendas, including Agenda 2063, Agenda for Social Equity 2074, the AfDB High 5 priorities, and Regional Economic Community strategies, by directing grants and sandboxes to problem statements issued by ministries and municipalities rather than to generic technology trends. Third, to build human capital pipelines through explicit integration with GSEA's UCE and UACE: UCE provides translational research consortia and shared laboratories whose outputs feed DIHAP accelerators; UACE provides advanced curricula, fellowships, and doctoral supervision that produce the principal investigators, product owners, and venture CTOs required to operate hub portfolios at scale. Fourth, to leverage fibre optics and open



connectivity as an enabling right and a competitive necessity, acknowledging that the addition of hundreds of millions of connected users and creators expands addressable market size, accelerates learning cycles, and permits participation in global supply chains—conditions that are intrinsic to DIHAP's design and financing.

In formal terms, DIHAP is governed by hub charters, sandbox regulations, and grant agreements that impose transparency, non-discrimination, data protection, algorithmic accountability, and grievance redress obligations. Hubs and accelerators are accredited by the DESA Central Unit upon demonstration of compliance with these instruments and with national law, including public procurement, higher-education, labour, and competition statutes. The programme expressly recognises fibre optics as a public-interest enabler: where back-haul or metro capacity is deficient, DIHAP coordinates with DESA infrastructure programmes and financing partners to provision adequate connectivity so that product development, cloud deployment, and international collaboration can proceed without structural bottlenecks. Through this legal and operational construct, DIHAP is positioned as a sovereign, ethical, and scalable vehicle to convert policy priorities into investable ventures and locally maintained public digital goods—integrated with GSEA's research and education pillars and open to the world through resilient fibre networks.

### Chapter 3 — Strategic Objectives

### Objectives—to—Outcomes Matrix with Instruments, Enablers, and Verification

DIHAP's strategic intent is to convert sovereign demand and public-interest problem statements into procurement-ready products and investable ventures, using hubs, accelerators, and sandboxes that are structurally integrated with GSEA's UCE (Unity Center of Excellence) and UACE (Unity Academy Center of Excellence). The programme treats fibre-optic back-haul and metro rings as an enabling condition for scale—connecting local talent and ministries to continental and global markets, codebases, mentors, and customers.

The objectives are formulated in narrative (not slogans) and anchored in measurable institutional benefits. The table below provides a concise but complete cross-walk from intent to instruments and verification.

Table 3-A — Objectives-to-Outcomes Cross-walk (DIHAP)

(Objective (narrative)	Mechanism of change (how DIHAP activates it)		Verification (indicative metrics)
ministerial and municipal problem statements into procurement-ready civic-tech products and services.	Hubs convene ministries and municipalities to co-define "challenge briefs"; accelerators run outcome-based cohorts that prototype, validate, and harden solutions to procurement standards.	Outcome-Based Grants (OBGs); Public Digital Goods Repository; UCE translational projects supplying reference models; Fibre	# challenge briefs issued/converted; # modules achieving procurement readiness; adoption rates by agencies; SLA conformance; TCO and service-efficiency deltas



Objective (narrative)	Mechanism of change (how DIHAP activates it)		Verification (indicative metrics)
pipeline that can service DESA programmes and export regionally.	and DESA roadmaps; standard contracts	seed/OBGs; Standard Framework Agreements; Co-sale and cross-border go-to-market with DESA counterparts; UACE	# ventures incorporated; revenue from public contracts; cross-border implementations; retention beyond initial grant; % of ventures led
digital goods (PDGs)	data models, APIs, design	PDG Repository with governance board; IP and licensing templates; Conformance profiles; Continuous security & accessibility audits; UCE contributes reference architectures.	released/consumed; reuse rate across hubs/countries; measured delivery-time reductions; cost
de-risk innovation while upholding	identity, payments, geospatial, health, agriculture, climate, and municipal modules with	assessments; Ethical review panels; Independent grievance/ombud; <b>Fibre</b> ensures safe,	# sandbox trials completed; % graduating to production; incident rate (security/privacy);
participation and quality jobs in software and services—prioritising women and youth	waivers; targeted outreach via TVETs and community networks; outcome-linked grant top-ups for equity	Circular; Gender-responsive grant rules; TVET linkages; Micro-credentialing via UACE; Accessibility standards (WCAG) embedded in all	stipend/placement
6. Institutionalise innovation as a	Programme compacts with Prime/Finance,	Inter-ministerial Steering Committee;	Annual adoption and spend under framework



Objective (narrative)	Mechanism of (how DIHAP activ	_		strument		Verification metrics)	(indicative
function aligned with DESA roadmaps.	"demand plans"	annual "and product ed to pooled	Accreditat Demand F Backlog; and MRV; item	ion; Plan & Pr Unified <b>Fibre</b> as in	DESA oduct MEL a line hub	portfolios locally; prod burn-down; closure;	luct backlog MRV audit public

Table 3-B — Demand-to-Deployment Pathway (from problem to purchase)

Stage	Owner(s)	Primary artefact	Gate / Exit criteria
	Ministry/Municipality × Hub	(target users, KPIs,	Steering Committee sign-off; legal/ethics greenlight to proceed to sandbox design.
2. Sandbox design & data access	Regulator × Hub × UCE	DPIA; Data-sharing	Regulator approval; privacy & security controls verified; grievance channel live.
	Accelerator × Teams × UACE mentors	compliance dossier	Passing scores on security, accessibility, performance; user validation ≥ agreed threshold.
4. Procurement readiness	Hub QA × Ministry Procurement	Dossier; Pricing &	Inclusion of solution on Framework Agreement; field pilot PO issued.
II	Ministry Ops × Vendor SME	Pilot report; benefit realisation log	KPIs achieved; long-term award under framework; support SLA activated.

**Table 3-C — Funding Windows and Outcome Triggers (illustrative)** 

Window Ticket size		Disbursement logic (outcome-based)	Typical use
Catalyst Gr (pre-seed)	<b>ant</b> €25k– €50k	40/40/20 (design gate / MVP gate / compliance gate)	Problem framing, MVP build, initial compliance.



Window	Ticket size	Disbursement logic (outcome-based)	Typical use
Acceleration OBG	€75k– €150k		Hardening to ministry standards, sandbox trials.
Market Activation OBG			Scaling a first contract, onboarding support/ops.

All windows enforce inclusion targets and audit trails; failure to meet outcome gates triggers remediation or claw-back under Operating Circulars.

### Why this structure matters for fibre, UCE, and UACE

- Fibre optics as enabler. Each stage above presumes high-throughput, low-latency connectivity
  for collaborative development, continuous integration, test automation, remote mentoring,
  security scanning, telemetry, and multi-site pilots. DIHAP therefore embeds connectivity
  requirements in hub accreditation and treats fibre investment as a prerequisite for credible
  scaling.
- UCE/UACE linkages. UCE supplies reference architectures, research prototypes, and specialist
  labs (e.g., geospatial, AI/ML, cybersecurity) to accelerate technical diligence and reduce
  duplication. UACE supplies advanced training and credentialing for product owners, CTOs, and
  auditors, ensuring that solutions exiting sandboxes can be sustained by local teams and
  procured by ministries with confidence.

### **Narrative Rationale**

DIHAP's objectives are not isolated initiatives; they form a systemic response to the structural innovation gap in many African economies. Ministries and municipalities often lack agile procurement channels, localised solutions, and talent pipelines to sustain digital transformation. DIHAP addresses this by embedding innovation hubs and accelerators within sovereign governance frameworks, ensuring that technology development is demand-driven and aligned with public-interest mandates.

The rationale rests on three imperatives:

- Governance Modernisation: Ministries require modular, interoperable civic-tech solutions for service delivery, compliance, and citizen engagement. DIHAP ensures these solutions are built locally, reducing dependency on opaque imports and enabling transparent lifecycle management.
- Education and Human Capital: By linking hubs to UACE and UCE, DIHAP transforms academic
  research and advanced training into market-ready products. This integration creates a virtuous
  cycle where doctoral research informs prototypes, and accelerators convert prototypes into
  ventures.
- 3. **Market Activation and Social Equity**: DIHAP stimulates SME formation and job creation in software and services, prioritising women and youth founders. Fibre connectivity ensures these ventures can access global markets, cloud platforms, and distributed development ecosystems.



### **Risk and Assumption Notes**

### • Assumptions:

- o Fibre back-haul and metro connectivity are provisioned to hubs for real-time collaboration and cloud deployment.
- Ministries commit to issuing challenge briefs and adopting solutions under framework agreements.
- Universities and anchor firms provide co-location and mentorship under PPP compacts.

#### • Risks:

- o Institutional resistance to sandboxing and agile procurement.
- Talent attrition if advanced training is not linked to viable career paths.
- o Connectivity bottlenecks in secondary cities.

### • Mitigations:

- o Operating Circulars codify sandbox governance and procurement readiness standards.
- o Outcome-based grants tied to adoption metrics incentivise ministries and ventures.
- o Fibre provisioning embedded in hub accreditation and AfDB financing proposals.

### Objective-to-KPI Crosswalk (for MRV and Performance-Linked Finance)

Objective	Primary KPI Families	Illustrative KPIs (Annual)
Translate problem statements into procurement-ready products	Adoption & efficiency	≥50 challenge briefs converted to procurement- ready modules    ≥80% SLA compliance in pilots        Documented cost/time savings vs. legacy
Build sovereign SME pipeline	Venture formation & revenue	• ≥200 ventures incorporated • ≥€10M aggregate revenue from public contracts • ≥40% ventures led by women/youth
Localise and reuse public digital goods	Repository utilisation	• ≥100 PDGs published • ≥60% reuse rate across hubs • ≥20% reduction in delivery time for ministries
Sandbox innovation safely	Compliance & graduation	• ≥30 sandbox trials completed • ≥70% graduation to production • Zero critical security/privacy incidents
Deliver equitable participation and jobs	Inclusion & employment	• ≥45% women/youth participation in cohorts • ≥1,500 new tech jobs created • Median wage growth ≥15%



Objective	Primary KPI Families	Illustrative KPIs (Annual)
Institutionalise innovation as a permanent function	snend	• ≥70% DESA portfolios serviced locally • ≥€50M cumulative spend under framework agreements • Annual MRV audit closure rate ≥95%

### Implementation Logic, Phasing, and Connectivity-Throughput Design

DIHAP converts sovereign demand into procurement-ready digital products and investable ventures through a deliberately simple operating model: hubs generate and curate demand with ministries and municipalities; accelerators turn demand into validated products under regulatory sandboxes; framework agreements allow the public sector to buy and scale those products; the repository of public digital goods guarantees reuse and vendor-neutral continuity. The model is embedded in sovereign institutions, linked to GSEA's UCE and UACE, and dimensioned on fibre back-haul and metro rings so that national teams can build, test, deploy, and support at continental scale.

### A. Phased Implementation Logic

DIHAP is executed in three phases that repeat by cohort while progressively expanding geography and depth. Each phase has binding entry and exit criteria to protect public value and assure procurement readiness.

### Initiation (Months 0–6) — Legal Sufficiency and First Throughput

The initiation period establishes the legal and infrastructural substrate: hub charters; sandbox operating circulars; PPPs with anchor universities and firms; the first set of Challenge Briefs issued by ministries and municipalities; connectivity baselines and fibre provisioning for the initial hubs; alignment compacts with UCE (reference architectures, test rigs) and UACE (advanced training tracks for product owners, CTOs, and compliance auditors). The first Catalyst and Acceleration OBG windows are launched; sandbox protocols and DPIAs are approved; the public digital goods repository is live with starter kits (design systems, API profiles, security and accessibility checklists).

### Scale-Up (Months 6–18) — Cohort Velocity and Procurement Readiness

Scale-up expands cohort capacity across additional cities and campuses, with a larger set of sandboxes operating under regulator supervision. Ministries publish rolling Demand Plans and pre-announce procurement windows to synchronise development with budget cycles. Conformance testing (security, privacy, accessibility, performance) is industrialised; framework agreements are executed so that pilots can graduate into multi-year services. UCE research outputs are pulled into acceleration backlogs; UACE graduates fill technical leadership roles in venture teams and ministry product units. Fibre upgrades extend to second-ring hubs, ensuring low-latency CI/CD, telemetry, and inter-hub replication.

### Consolidation (Months 18-36) — Institutionalisation and Cross-Border Replication

Consolidation embeds DIHAP as a permanent function: annual adoption and spend under framework agreements; cross-border reuse of PDGs and co-sale of solutions; expansion of outcome-based grant windows tied to verified adoption; routine MRV audits and public dashboards; recurrent Train-the-Trainer programmes with UACE to sustain talent pipelines; accreditation renewals for hubs based on throughput, inclusion, and compliance. Fibre capacity is uprated on the metro core and inter-city links to accommodate multi-jurisdiction deployments and regional customer support.



### B. Phase-by-Phase Milestones, Deliverables, and Exit Criteria

Phase	Milestones (illustrative)	Mandatory Deliverables	Exit Criteria
Initiation (0–6 months)	II Acceleration ()BG windows II		Legal instruments enacted; ≥10 challenge briefs validated; ≥20 teams admitted; connectivity SLA met at all initial hubs; grievance and audit channels active
Scale-Up (6–18 months)	8–15 hubs active; 5–10 sandboxes running; first procurement-ready modules; framework agreements signed; cross-hub mentoring via UCE/UACE	Security & Accessibility conformance records; Independent sandbox reports; Tech & Service Dossiers for procurement; Framework Agreement templates; MRV instrumentation	≥30 modules reach procurement readiness; ≥15 pilots issued; ≥10 ventures under framework award; inclusion targets met; adoption dashboards public
Consolidation (18–36 months)	15–30 hubs accredit/renew; cross-border reuse of PDGs; pooled procurement lots; regional customer support; recurrent ToT with UACE	Annual Demand Plans; PDG governance reports; MRV audits closed; regional deployment playbooks; renewal dossiers for hub accreditation	≥70% DESA portfolios serviced with local products; ≥€50M cumulative framework spend; ≥95% MRV audit closure; cross-border adoptions recorded

### C. Connectivity-Throughput Impact (Fibre as Enabler of Innovation Velocity)

DIHAP's throughput—the number of solutions reaching procurement readiness and the number of ventures able to sustain multi-site operations—is a direct function of hub count, cohort capacity, and available back-haul/metro bandwidth. The table below sets conservative planning figures for AfDB-grade fibre appraisals. Values are indicative and can be localised per city topology.

	Hubs (city/campus)	Active sandboxes	Cohorts/year	modules/year	back-haul	Metro core headroom (peak)
Baseline	5	5–8	2	25–35	≥10 Gbps	≥40 Gbps
Moderate	12	12–18	3	60–90	≥25 Gbps	≥100 Gbps



	Hubs (city/campus)	Active sandboxes	Cohorts/year	modules/year	back-haul	Metro core headroom (peak)
Enhanced Peak	24–30	24–30	4	1120–160		≥200–300 Gbps

**Interpretation.** At the Enhanced Peak tier, the system is capable of graduating roughly 10–13 procurement-ready solutions per month, provided back-haul and metro cores are dimensioned as shown. These figures assume per-hub working bandwidth in the 1–5 Gbps range for CI/CD, security scanning, artifact distribution, telemetry, and remote mentoring; they also assume content delivery via edge/CDN for citizen-facing portals to avoid core saturation. Fibre requirements are therefore embedded in hub accreditation and financing.

### D. Workstreams and Gating (Word-friendly, minimal numbering)

DIHAP operates five continuous workstreams that align with the objectives and directly support procurement readiness:

- **Demand & Product Governance.** Challenge Briefs, Demand Plans, backlog management with ministries and municipalities.
- **Build & Validate.** Cohort execution; sandbox trials; compliance and conformance testing (security, privacy, accessibility, performance).
- **Procure & Scale.** Framework agreements; service-level operations; pooled procurement lots; cross-border replication and co-sale.
- **Talent & Research Translation.** Structured integration with UACE (credentialing, ToT, leadership tracks) and **UCE** (reference architectures, research prototypes, test rigs).
- **Connectivity & Platforms.** Fibre back-haul and metro rings; secure CI/CD; observability; artifact repositories; PDG registry; grievance and audit systems.

Each solution passes three gates: Sandbox Admit (regulator approval and DPIA), Validation Pass (user, security, accessibility, performance), and Procurement Readiness (complete Tech & Service Dossier, pricing and SLA, framework inclusion). Outcome-based grants disburse strictly at gate approvals to protect fiduciary integrity.

### E. Hub Accreditation Snapshot (what must be in place before a cohort starts)

Domain	Minimum condition	Evidence
Legal & Governance	Hub Charter; Operating Circulars for sandboxes, inclusion, accessibility; grievance mechanism	Signed instruments; public notices; ombud contact
Connectivity	Fibre back-haul & metro access at accredited SLA; secure VPN to sovereign cloud	Acceptance tests; bandwidth & latency logs
Platforms & Security	CI/CD; artifact registry; code scanning; secrets management; SSO; audit logging	Tooling inventory; security policies; last audit report



Domain	Minimum condition	Evidence
UCE/UACE Integration	Named lab and faculty mentors; defined translational projects; ToT calendar	UCE/UACE MoUs; mentor rosters; schedule
Public-Sector Linkage	Steering committee with ministries/municipalities; published Challenge Briefs	Minutes; published briefs and timelines
Inclusion & Accessibility	Accessibility conformance plan; participation targets; facility adaptations	Plan on file; quarterly participation reports

Accreditation is renewed against throughput (procurement-ready outputs and adoptions), equity (women/youth participation, inclusive design), and compliance (sandbox incidents, audit closures), with connectivity performance treated as a non-negotiable requirement.

### F. Funding Windows × Connectivity Envelope (how capacity buys velocity)

Instrument	Primary cost drivers	Connectivity envelope (per hub)	Velocity contribution
Catalyst Grants	Product discovery, UX, compliance design	500 Mbps–1 Gbps (shared)	Faster design sprints; parallel discovery tracks
Acceleration OBG	Build/test, sandbox runs, conformance	1–3 Gbps sustained	Reduced time-to-validation; shorter iteration cycles
Market Activation OBG	Field pilots, onboarding, ops tooling	2–5 Gbps sustained	Faster pilot-to-award conversions; stable SLAs
Repository & PDGs	Artifact hosting, CI/CD, mirrors	Core object store + CDN/edge	High reuse; reduced duplications across hubs
Framework Agreements	Onboarding, observability, support	Metro core 40–200 Gbps	Predictable scaling across ministries/regions

The connectivity envelope is not a luxury; it is the rate-limiter. When funded correctly, the same hub staff can push more products across the gates with fewer backlogs and lower defect rates, while ministries can adopt faster because validation artefacts are generated and shared in real time.

# Chapter 4. Institutional Architecture and Governance

The DESA Innovation Hubs & Acceleration Programme ("DIHAP") is instituted as the capstone programme within the DESA Education & Innovation Centre. It establishes a permanent, legally-mandated architecture through which sovereigns, universities, anchor firms, and municipalities co-create city and campus hubs, operate regulatory sandboxes, and accelerate procurement-ready civic-tech and SME ventures. DIHAP is governed within DESA's Institutional Governance Manual and aligned to the precedent set by DAIP (DESA AI Integration Programme) for oversight, accreditation, compliance, and public reporting.



### **4.1 Programme Office and Directorates**

DIHAP is operated through a multi-tier Programme Office with clear lines of authority and accountability to the DESA Central Unit. The Office comprises five directorates, each with standing units and instrument charters:

- 1. Hubs & Networks Directorate. Establishes and accredits city and campus hubs; issues Hub Charters; maintains the national Hub Registry; and coordinates inter-hub exchange, cloud credits, and compute pools. It liaises with telecom operators and municipal fiber projects to ensure that physical connectivity and last-mile broadband are treated as enabling infrastructure for innovation. Policy emphasis on fiber optics and affordable broadband reflects continental evidence that universal connectivity and broadband quality materially expand inclusion, productivity, and the pipeline of viable ventures.
- 2. Innovation Sandboxes & Standards Directorate. Designs and administers regulatory sandboxes (fintech, health, agri-data, e-procurement, and civic platforms), including eligibility, testing duration, consumer safeguards, and exit criteria; publishes Operating Circulars and Sandbox Runbooks; and coordinates cross-border pilots with REC authorities. Lessons from global sandbox practice and African DFI guidance are adopted to ensure proportionate regulation, evidence-based adjustments, and consumer protection.
- 3. **PPP & Financing Instruments Directorate.** Structures PPPs with universities and anchor firms; sets vendor-neutral procurement standards; integrates financing with the DESA Development Fund (DTIF), DFIs, and private co-financing; and enforces affordability safeguards and tariff transparency for hub services. Comparative PPP frameworks and continental guidance on legal instruments inform model contracts and risk allocation.
- 4. **Compliance, Ethics & Data Governance Directorate.** Enforces legal bases for data protection, algorithmic transparency, accessibility, and audit; binds partners to the DESA Ethical AI Policy and accessibility standards; and oversees grievance redress and independent audits. Requirements cross-walk to Agenda 2063 STYIP governance targets, AfDB's High 5 alignment on integration and quality of life, and REC digitalisation strategies.
- 5. **Digital Public Goods & Knowledge Repository Directorate.** Curates a repository of open-source public digital goods, patterns, and codebases; manages contribution and licensing workflows against the UN-endorsed Digital Public Goods Standard; and operates public dashboards for performance disclosure.

### 4.2 Steering Committees, Councils, and Advisory Boards

DIHAP governance integrates sovereign oversight with academic-industry co-determination:

- a) **National DIHAP Steering Committee.** Chaired by the Prime Ministry or Finance/Planning, with representation from ICT, Education, Municipal Affairs, Trade, and Justice. It approves hub charters, sandbox scopes, PPPs, and annual workplans; resolves escalations; and confirms public reporting schedules to DESA. Alignment is maintained with COMESA's IDEA Programme coordination to harmonise policies and platforms for affordability, trusted transactions, and regional data interoperability.
- b) Academic–Industry Council (UCE/UACE Linkage). A standing council formally connects DIHAP to UCE (Unity Center of Excellence) and UACE (Unity Academy Center of Excellence) under GSEA, ensuring that research programmes, doctoral tracks, and faculty enablement translate into venture pipelines,



mentorship rosters, and applied laboratories inside hubs. University innovation-hub participation and PPPs follow well-documented African campus models and emerging VC partnerships.

c) **Advisory Board (REC/DFI Partners).** A biannual board with AfDB, REC representatives (e.g., COMESA, EAC, SADC), national authorities, and anchor firms validates compliance with ethical, accessibility, and fiduciary standards; adjudicates policy updates; and endorses regional replication. AfDB's High 5 priorities and Ten-Year Strategy (2024–2033) provide policy and financing reference points for DIHAP's integration, industrialisation, and quality-of-life outcomes.

### 4.3 Reporting Lines and Compliance Mechanisms

National DIHAP Units report quarterly to the DESA Central Unit, which consolidates results for public disclosure via MEL dashboards. Independent audits (ethics, accessibility, data protection, and financial stewardship) are commissioned annually and disclosed; non-compliance triggers corrective action, suspension of privileges, or restructuring. This governance approach mirrors the DAIP framework—central oversight, national execution, advisory validation, and public MRV.

### 4.4 Regional Harmonisation and Legal Bases

DIHAP instruments are drafted to be interoperable with COMESA's **IDEA** programme (regional harmonisation, knowledge and capacity building, and a PCU at COMESA), EAC digital integration initiatives (e.g., One Network Area and emerging e-Commerce Strategy), and SADC's Digital SADC 2027/DTS action plans (infrastructure, harmonised regulation, research and innovation pillars). Hub charters, sandbox protocols, and data-exchange agreements are aligned to these standards to enable cross-border pilots and shared infrastructure.

### 4.5 Grievance Redress, Ombuds Function, and Audit Obligations

An Innovation Ombuds Office receives citizen, SME, and researcher complaints; mandates time-bound remediation; and refers unresolved matters to the Steering Committee. Annual independent audits cover (i) ethics and algorithmic fairness; (ii) accessibility conformance; (iii) data security and privacy; and (iv) fiduciary integrity; summaries are published on the public dashboard in line with DESA's unified MEL practice and REC commitments to trusted digital services.

#### 4.6 Broadband and Fiber as Foundational Enablers

DIHAP's governance explicitly recognises fiber optics and affordable broadband as foundational enablers. As REC programmes scale universal access—COMESA's IDEA targets 180 million people with Internet access and 100 million with digital services over the next eight years—DIHAP binds hub accreditation to connectivity sufficiency, so that the inclusion of "hundreds of millions" into the enabling machine translates into larger, more diverse cohorts of innovators connected with the world.

# Chapter 5. Implementation Framework

DIHAP implements a three-tier operational model—Infrastructure, Application, Capacity—sequenced through Initiation, Scale-Up, and Consolidation. This framework mirrors DAIP's phased, result-oriented logic while adapting instruments to innovation hubs, accelerators, and sandboxed civic-tech procurement.

### 5.1 Three-Tier Model

**Tier I** — **Infrastructure.** DIHAP accredits the physical and digital substrate for innovation: city and campus hubs, secure connectivity, local compute pools, and cloud credits; shared labs (maker spaces, Al studios), and secure data rooms; and integration with municipal fiber and national broadband plans.



Evidence shows that progress on universal, affordable broadband materially lifts productive digital usage; DIHAP's Tier I therefore codifies minimum connectivity baselines and affordability safeguards.

**Tier II** — **Application.** DIHAP operates regulatory sandboxes and maintains a repository of public digital goods. Sandbox cohorts test fintech, health-data, agri-logistics, and e-procurement prototypes against legal and consumer-protection safeguards, with formal exit procedures for market launch. The repository curates open-source civic-tech and SME tooling that meet the Digital Public Goods Standard, facilitating procurement and localisation by ministries and municipalities.

**Tier III** — **Capacity.** DIHAP delivers mentorship, venture readiness, and certification tracks in conjunction with UCE and UACE. Faculty enablement, doctoral research, and practicum studios are co-located in hubs; trainer-of-trainers pipelines and anchor-firm residencies convert research into products and SMEs. University PPPs and accelerator demo-days align to Africa's campus-innovation patterns and VC engagement.

### 5.2 Sequencing and Phases

**Phase A** — **Initiation (Months 0–6).** Legal and operational readiness is established: hub siting and charters; partner MoUs with universities and anchor firms; sandbox policies and admission criteria; data governance instruments; and initial fiber/broadband sufficiency tests. Coordination is maintained with REC secretariats—e.g., COMESA's PCU under IDEA—for harmonisation and shared knowledge services.

**Phase B — Scale-Up (Months 6–18).** Hubs open to cohorts; first sandboxes admit applications; seed-fund windows and outcome-based grants are launched; public digital goods are localised and adopted by ministries/municipalities; and cross-border pilots are initiated (customs, digital ID interop, or e-commerce). EAC's regional digital integration work and SADC's Digital SADC 2027 pillars inform interop and regulatory harmonisation.

**Phase C — Consolidation (Months 18–36).** DIHAP becomes a standing function: hubs expand nationally; sandboxed products pass exit audits and enter procurement catalogues; affordability safeguards and tariff caps are reviewed; and public dashboards disclose KPIs quarterly with independent audits annually. Medium-term objectives include shared services across REC corridors and integration with continental broadband initiatives and "moonshot" financing analyses for infrastructure investment.

### **5.3 Instruments and Operating Circulars**

DIHAP issues and enforces: (i) Hub Charter (mandate, governance, accessibility obligations, safety, and grievance redress); (ii) Sandbox Operating Circular (eligibility, supervision, consumer safeguards, testing scope, duration, reporting, and exit); (iii) PPP Model Agreements (risk allocation, performance-based payments, equitable IP, and vendor-neutral procurement); (iv) Digital Public Goods Circular (licensing, security, privacy, and open-source contribution standards); and (v) MRV Circular (KPI families, cadence, dashboards, and audit protocols). The instruments are harmonised with REC frameworks to facilitate regional interoperability and trusted cross-border services.

### 5.4 Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV)

MRV integrates five KPI families: (1) hubs accredited and operating; (2) sandbox cohorts admitted and exited to market; (3) public digital goods adopted by ministries/municipalities; (4) SME ventures formed and jobs created in software and services; and (5) affordability and service-efficiency indicators for hub users. Results are disclosed via public dashboards; audits cover ethics, accessibility, data governance, and fiduciary integrity. This cadence and transparency reflect Broadband Commission



recommendations for universal connectivity and responsible AI; DIHAP's MRV evidences the link between connectivity, applications, and capacity.

### 5.5 Broadband Enablement and Economic Rationale

Implementation presumes that fiber optics, affordable broadband, and trusted digital platforms are pre-conditions for innovation hubs to reach scale. World Bank DE4A results and REC programme targets confirm that expanding access and reducing usage gaps multiplies the number of innovators and users connected to the enabling machine—an argument DIHAP operationalises by binding hub accreditation to connectivity sufficiency and affordability safeguards.

### 5.6 Regional Replication and Shared Platforms

As DIHAP consolidates, hubs and sandboxes replicate across REC corridors with shared services (hosting, pooled procurement, mentor registries), common standards (privacy, security, accessibility), and interop pilots (customs, payments, digital ID). SADC's and EAC's strategies offer structured pillars for infrastructure, harmonised regulation, and e-services, enabling DIHAP to serve as a sovereign, ethical, and scalable instrument connected to continental broadband and digital-integration programmes.

### **Closing Statement**

DIHAP formalises the sovereign architecture required to create innovation hubs and accelerators at city and campus level, to operate sandboxes that responsibly test and launch products, and to curate public digital goods for rapid adoption by ministries and municipalities. By explicitly linking to UCE and UACE, DIHAP ensures that research excellence and doctoral programmes translate into mentored venture pipelines and jobs in software and services. By binding hub accreditation to fiber and affordable broadband, it recognises that connectivity is the enabling substrate through which "hundreds of millions" of citizens can participate, innovate, and connect with the world. In method and mandate, DIHAP mirrors DESA's proven governance and implementation logic under DAIP, while harmonising with Agenda 2063 STYIP, AfDB's High 5 priorities, and REC digitalisation strategies—positioning the programme as a sovereign, ethical, and scalable solution within DESA's long-term vision

# Chapter 6. Fiduciary Architecture and Financing Instruments

The financial architecture of DIHAP is designed to guarantee adequacy of resources, predictability of funding flows, and sustainability beyond the initial implementation horizon. It operates under the DESA Development Fund and integrates blended finance instruments, public–private partnerships, and performance-based disbursement mechanisms, ensuring alignment with Agenda 2063, AfDB High 5 priorities, and REC digitalisation strategies.

### **Financing Principles**

DIHAP financing adheres to four binding principles:

- **Transparency and Accountability**: All transactions are subject to audit and disclosure under DESA fiduciary standards and AfDB safeguard policies.
- **Diversification of Sources**: Funding streams include sovereign allocations, DESA Development Fund envelopes, concessional loans and grants from DFIs, and private co-financing through anchor firms and technology partners.
- **Value for Money**: Procurement and operational expenditures prioritise cost-efficiency without compromising compliance or quality.



• **Alignment with Development Objectives**: Instruments reinforce innovation-led growth, regional integration, and social equity.

### **Sources of Financing**

- **DESA Development Fund**: Primary allocation for hub infrastructure, sandbox operations, and seed-funding windows.
- African Development Bank (AfDB): Second-lien participation through concessional loans, grants, and technical assistance, consistent with AfDB's Ten-Year Strategy and High 5 priorities.
- Private Sector Co-Financing: Anchor firms, telecom operators, and technology providers contribute through PPPs, CSR programmes, and in-kind support (cloud credits, software licenses, mentorship stipends).
- **Development Finance Institutions and Donors**: Additional resources mobilised for inclusion components, particularly targeting persons with disabilities and gender equity.
- **Cost-Recovery Mechanisms**: Revenue streams from advanced certification tiers, sandbox participation fees, and hub services, structured to ensure affordability and tariff safeguards.

### **Efficiency Measures and Sustainability Strategy**

- **Pooled Procurement**: Consolidated purchasing of hardware, connectivity, and cloud services across hubs to leverage economies of scale.
- **Open-Source Adoption**: Utilisation of public digital goods and low-cost platforms to reduce licensing costs.
- **Shared Services Model**: Regional hubs provide hosting, maintenance, and technical support, minimising duplication and reinforcing COMESA's interoperability objectives.
- **Institutionalisation**: Integration of DIHAP modules into civil-service training standards and university curricula; establishment of trainer pipelines to reduce reliance on external expertise.
- Performance-Based Financing: Future allocations linked to verified KPIs under the Monitoring & Evaluation framework.

### **Risk Mitigation in Financing**

Financial risks—funding shortfalls, currency fluctuations, and delayed disbursements—are mitigated through diversification of sources, contingency reserves within the DESA Development Fund, hedging instruments for currency risk, and strict audit protocols.

# Chapter 7. Compliance and Ethics

DIHAP enforces a codified compliance regime anchored in DESA's Institutional Governance Manual and harmonised with continental and regional safeguard frameworks. This regime institutionalises ethical governance, data protection, accessibility, and algorithmic transparency as binding obligations for all hubs, sandboxes, and affiliated partners.

### **Legal Bases and Normative Alignment**

Compliance instruments cross-reference national ICT and data protection laws, COMESA interoperability standards, AfDB safeguard policies, and Agenda 2074 principles of social equity and



inclusion. All DIHAP operations are subject to these legal and normative frameworks, ensuring legitimacy and enforceability.

### **Ethical Governance and Algorithmic Transparency**

- Mandatory bias audits for all sandboxed applications prior to market exit.
- Publication of explainability reports for algorithms deployed in civic-tech and SME solutions.
- Human-in-the-loop mechanisms for critical decision-making processes to maintain accountability.

### **Data Protection and Security**

- Encryption of sensitive data and role-based access controls across hubs and sandboxes.
- Compliance with national data protection statutes and REC cross-border data exchange protocols.
- Secure hosting within approved jurisdictions and audit trails for all transactions.

### **Accessibility and Inclusion Safeguards**

- Universal design principles institutionalised across hub infrastructure and digital platforms.
- Mandatory integration of assistive technologies for dyslexia, dyscalculia, and mobility impairments.
- Compliance measured against WCAG standards and DESA Accessibility Benchmarks.

### **Grievance Redress and Audit Obligations**

- An Ombuds function receives complaints from citizens, SMEs, and researchers; mandates time-bound remediation; and escalates unresolved matters to the Steering Committee.
- Independent audits conducted annually cover ethics, accessibility, data governance, and fiduciary integrity; summaries published on public dashboards under DESA's unified MEL system.

### **Enforcement and Sanctions**

Non-compliance triggers corrective action plans with time-bound remediation. Persistent failure results in suspension of sandbox privileges, withdrawal of hub accreditation, or reallocation of funding. Significant breaches are disclosed publicly to maintain transparency and stakeholder confidence.

# Chapter 8. Regional Replication and Integration

The DESA Innovation Hubs & Acceleration Programme (DIHAP) is conceived not as an isolated national initiative but as a structural instrument for regional harmonisation and cross-border interoperability. Its replication strategy is anchored in the normative frameworks of Agenda 2063, the AfDB High 5 priorities, and the digitalisation strategies of COMESA, EAC, and SADC, ensuring that innovation ecosystems scale beyond sovereign boundaries while preserving legal sufficiency and institutional integrity.



### **Regional Harmonisation Mandate**

DIHAP instruments—Hub Charters, Sandbox Operating Circulars, and PPP frameworks—are drafted to conform to REC-level standards on data governance, cybersecurity, and interoperability. This alignment guarantees that hubs and sandboxes can operate seamlessly across borders, enabling shared services, pooled procurement, and harmonised regulatory environments. COMESA's IDEA Programme, which codifies pillars on inclusive digitalisation, trusted data platforms, and regional knowledge transfer, serves as the primary reference point for DIHAP's cross-border integration. Similarly, EAC's Regional Digital Integration Project and SADC's Digital Transformation Strategy provide structured pillars for infrastructure, harmonised regulation, and innovation-led growth, which DIHAP operationalises through its replication model.

### **Shared Infrastructure and Knowledge Platforms**

Regional replication is underpinned by the establishment of Regional DIHAP Hubs in strategic corridors—such as Lusaka, Juba, and Windhoek—serving as shared service centres for hosting, maintenance, and technical support. These hubs consolidate procurement for connectivity, cloud services, and sandbox tooling, leveraging economies of scale and reducing fiscal leakage. They also host the DESA Knowledge Repository, a unified platform for sharing sandbox results, public digital goods, and accessibility conformance statements, thereby institutionalising knowledge transfer and best-practice dissemination across member states.

### **Cross-Border Pilots and Interoperability**

DIHAP mandates the execution of interoperability pilots in customs automation, digital identity, and e-commerce facilitation, coordinated with REC secretariats and national authorities. These pilots validate the technical and legal feasibility of cross-border data exchange, harmonised sandbox protocols, and mutual recognition of certifications. By embedding these pilots within the programme's Scale-Up and Consolidation phases, DIHAP ensures that regional integration is not aspirational but operational, evidenced by measurable improvements in trade facilitation, service delivery, and SME market access.

### **Legal and Institutional Instruments for Regionalisation**

Replication is formalised through Regional Operating Circulars, endorsed by REC councils and co-signed by national steering committees. These instruments codify obligations on ethics, accessibility, and fiduciary integrity, while establishing dispute-resolution mechanisms for cross-border engagements. Accreditation of hubs and sandboxes under the DESA Central Unit is contingent upon compliance with these regional instruments, ensuring uniform standards and enforceable accountability across jurisdictions.

### **Strategic Linkages and Continental Objectives**

Regional replication advances the Second Ten-Year Implementation Plan of Agenda 2063 by operationalising its pillars on innovation, integration, and inclusive growth. It reinforces AfDB's High 5 priorities—particularly *Integrate Africa* and *Industrialise Africa*—through the creation of interoperable innovation ecosystems that stimulate SME formation, job creation, and technology localisation. By binding DIHAP's replication model to REC strategies and continental frameworks, Creativa Center positions the programme as a sovereign yet regionally harmonised instrument for accelerating Africa's digital economy and social equity agenda.

# Chapter 9. Programme Benefits and Economic Rationale

DIHAP delivers a structured set of public benefits that accrue to the sovereign, to universities and research ecosystems through UCE and UACE, to ministries and municipalities procuring civic-tech, and



to the private sector through SME formation and market activation. Its economic rationale is anchored in three propositions. First, digitalisation—understood as ubiquitous, affordable broadband underpinned by fiber optics—expands the addressable base of learners, entrepreneurs, and service users, thereby increasing the pool of innovators and the throughput of institutions. Second, interoperable, trusted platforms reduce transaction costs in public service delivery and cross-border trade, inducing measurable efficiency gains and fiscal savings. Third, when research, doctoral training, and faculty enablement are structurally linked to city- and campus-level hubs, the pipeline from knowledge to venture is institutionalised rather than episodic.

The enabling effect of connectivity is not conjectural. Continental evidence shows that expanding broadband access and reducing the usage gap correlate with higher productive digital use in government, education, and commerce. Recent reports document both the progress and the remaining divide: Africa added over 160 million broadband users between 2019 and 2022 yet still experiences large usage gaps, with affordability and locally relevant services identified as binding constraints that DIHAP directly addresses through pooled procurement, sandboxed localisation, and affordability safeguards. Global assessments further underscore that universal, affordable connectivity and Al-enabled services can accelerate service delivery and education outcomes if paired with safeguards on equity and ethics—precisely the compliance architecture embedded in DIHAP.

Within the DESA architecture, UCE and UACE operate as the knowledge and advanced training engines that convert research excellence into scalable public value. DIHAP formally binds these engines to the hubs and acceleration pipelines, thereby aligning doctoral research, faculty residencies, and practicum studios with sandboxed pilots and procurement-ready products in ministries and municipalities. This design reflects observed dynamics in African university innovation ecosystems, where PPPs and investor-linked campus hubs translate research into commercial ventures and public solutions when the institutional interfaces and financing instruments are clear.

Regional integration strengthens this value proposition. By harmonising DIHAP instruments with COMESA's Inclusive Digitalisation (IDEA) Programme, EAC's regional digital integration initiatives, and SADC's Digital SADC 2027/Digital Transformation Strategy, replication of hubs and sandboxes becomes a regional rather than solely national exercise; shared platforms, pooled procurement, and mutual recognition reduce duplication and increase returns to scale. In operational terms, this reduces time-to-market for civic-tech, expands markets for SMEs beyond national borders, and helps ministries adopt software and services that are already validated for interoperability and data protection across REC corridors.

The benefits to sovereign governance are immediate and structural. Ministries and municipalities can source solutions from a repository of public digital goods and sandbox-certified applications, with documented bias audits, accessibility conformance, and data-protection assurances. This lowers procurement risk, accelerates digitisation, and improves citizen services. The benefits to education and skills are equally direct. By situating hubs on campuses and embedding UCE/UACE programmes in hub operations, DIHAP expands applied learning, increases graduate employability, and creates faculty-industry research consortia whose outputs are pulled into public service delivery. The benefits to markets and social equity are evidenced by the expansion of SME cohorts, the localisation of apps for agriculture, health, commerce and logistics, and the lifting of usage constraints through affordability safeguards—thereby broadening participation for women, youth, and persons with disabilities in line with continental and REC strategies.



Finally, the alignment with Agenda 2063's Second Ten-Year Implementation Plan and AfDB's High 5 priorities provides a policy-legitimate basis for financing and performance assessment. DIHAP contributes to innovation-led growth, integration, and quality-of-life outcomes and can therefore be financed and reviewed under frameworks already adopted by AU institutions and DFIs. This linkage clarifies the "why now" for the sovereign and provides DFIs and private co-financiers with a measurable pathway from connectivity and research to institutional efficiency, jobs, and enterprise growth

The quantitative benefits of DIHAP accrue across four families of outcomes: jobs and enterprise formation; public-sector efficiency and fiscal savings; education and skills attainment; and regional competitiveness. The ranges set out below are expressed as indicative implementation targets to be finalised in each national DIHAP plan and verified under DESA's MRV regime.

Jobs and Enterprise Formation. In jurisdictions with multi-hub deployments and functioning sandboxes, DIHAP's experience and ecosystem benchmarks support a target range of several hundred to low-thousands of net new ventures over a three-year horizon, depending on hub density and seed-fund windows. Each venture typically sustains between three and fifteen formal jobs in software and services during the first 24–36 months, with spill-overs in support functions and local supply chains. The plausibility of these ranges is consistent with pan-African hub network data showing extensive reach of innovation hubs and growing participation in accelerator programmes, as well as with REC-level programmes designed to expand affordable connectivity and productive use at population scale—both prerequisites for venture formation and market uptake.

**Public-Sector Efficiency and Fiscal Savings.** Ministries and municipalities adopting sandbox-validated civic-tech—such as e-procurement analytics, permit and licensing portals, or service-request platforms—can expect reductions in processing times and error rates, with associated savings from digitised workflows and improved compliance. While exact magnitudes are context-dependent, the combination of broadband connectivity, interoperable platforms, and evidence-based digital services supports measurable gains in service efficiency and accountability. Regional digital programmes emphasise these gains, noting that trusted data platforms and harmonised regulation enable more efficient public services and stimulate private adoption.

**Education and Skills Attainment.** Hubs co-located on campuses and integrated with UCE/UACE enable applied learning, internships, and doctoral-level research with direct pathways to venture creation and public-sector innovation. In countries with two to five campus hubs, DIHAP targets cohorts in the low-thousands of trainees and interns per annum across foundational, applied, and advanced tracks, with portability of credentials recognised through DESA's accreditation and REC coordination. Global and regional analyses of broadband and AI in education reaffirm that connectivity plus data-informed platforms catalyse learning outcomes and institutional capacity when inclusion safeguards and affordability conditions are met.

Regional Competitiveness and Cross-Border Trade. DIHAP's harmonisation with REC strategies and its emphasis on interoperability pilots shorten adoption cycles for firms operating in multiple countries and reduce the regulatory friction of market entry. EAC's and SADC's digital strategies explicitly target cross-border e-commerce enablement, trusted transactions, and harmonised frameworks; DIHAP's regional hubs and shared services translate these aims into operating reality for SMEs and public agencies, underpinning gains in competitiveness and participation in regional value chains.

**Cost Efficiency and Investment Leverage.** Pooled procurement of connectivity, cloud services, and sandbox tooling across hubs generates purchasing efficiencies; the adoption of open-source digital



public goods reduces licensing costs; and the shared-services model at regional hubs prevents duplication and raises utilisation. These features interact positively with REC-wide programmes that mobilise multi-country finance for digital access and trusted platforms and with continental investment analyses emphasising that targeted infrastructure—including fiber optics—yields outsized growth effects when coupled with institutional reforms and skills.

Affordability and Inclusion Safeguards. The economic rationale embeds tariff caps, scholarship windows, and accessibility obligations so that benefits reach under-served groups. In practice, this lowers the usage gap and increases productive digital adoption—an effect repeatedly cited in broadband and digital-development assessments and a precondition for realising Agenda 2074's social-equity aims through expanded participation in education, entrepreneurship, and dignified work.

**Verification and Credibility.** All outcome claims are subject to DIHAP's MRV circular: quarterly reporting to the DESA Central Unit, public dashboards with KPI families for hubs, sandboxes, adoptions, and jobs, and annual independent audits of ethical compliance, accessibility, data governance, and fiduciary integrity. Results are cross-walked to Agenda 2063 indicators and REC priorities, and they are suitable for AfDB and DFI reporting cycles, thereby enabling performance-based financing and sustained capital mobilisation.

**Strategic Conclusion.** DIHAP's benefits are not merely additive; they are multiplicative when digitalisation and fiber optics expand access for "hundreds of millions" of current and future users. By formalising the pathway from connectivity to applications to capacity—and by tying UCE and UACE into hub operations—the programme provides a bankable, sovereign, and regionally harmonised channel through which Agenda 2074 and Agenda 2063 ambitions translate into measurable public value, national competitiveness, and social equity.

# Chapter 10. Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV)

DIHAP operates under a unified Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL) framework codified in the DESA Institutional Governance Manual. This framework ensures transparency, accountability, and evidence-based decision-making across all hubs, sandboxes, and affiliated programmes. It is harmonised with Agenda 2063 indicators, AfDB High 5 priorities, and REC digitalisation benchmarks, thereby enabling cross-walks to continental and regional reporting obligations.

### **Purpose and Principles**

The MRV system serves three binding purposes:

- 1. **Performance Measurement**: To validate achievement of DIHAP objectives in innovation, education, and market activation.
- 2. **Compliance Assurance**: To enforce ethical, accessibility, and fiduciary standards across all programme components.
- 3. **Strategic Alignment**: To demonstrate contribution to Agenda 2063, Agenda 2074, and REC integration strategies.

The framework is guided by principles of objectivity, independence, and data integrity. All reporting instruments are standardised and published in advance to ensure predictability and enforceability.

#### **KPI Families**

DIHAP defines five mandatory KPI families:



- **Hub Accreditation and Operations**: Number of hubs chartered and operational; compliance with connectivity and accessibility benchmarks.
- **Sandbox Performance**: Cohorts admitted, prototypes validated, and civic-tech products exited to market with bias audits and accessibility conformance.
- Public Digital Goods Adoption: Number of ministries and municipalities integrating repository solutions; documented service-efficiency gains.
- **Enterprise Formation and Employment**: Ventures created, jobs sustained in software and services, and gender/youth participation rates.
- Affordability and Inclusion Metrics: Tariff compliance, scholarship uptake, and accessibility feature utilisation.

### **Reporting Cadence and Instruments**

- Quarterly Reports: Submitted by national DIHAP units to the DESA Central Unit, covering KPIs, compliance status, and corrective actions.
- **Biannual Advisory Board Reviews**: Validation of progress and strategic alignment with REC and AfDB frameworks.
- Annual Public Performance Report: Disclosure of aggregated results on DESA's MEL dashboard, cross-referenced with Agenda 2063 and REC indicators.

### **Verification and Audit**

Independent audits are commissioned annually to validate ethics, accessibility, data governance, and fiduciary integrity. Audit summaries are published on public dashboards to maintain transparency and stakeholder confidence. Persistent non-compliance triggers corrective action plans, suspension of privileges, or reallocation of funding.

# Chapter 11. Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building

DIHAP institutionalises a multi-stakeholder engagement model that integrates sovereign authorities, academia, private sector actors, civil society, and development partners into a coherent governance and implementation structure. This model ensures that innovation hubs and accelerators are not isolated technical facilities but embedded instruments of national development and regional integration.

### **Government Engagement**

National steering committees chaired by the Prime Ministry or Finance/Planning Ministry provide sovereign oversight and policy legitimacy. Sector ministries—ICT, Education, Trade, and Municipal Affairs—participate in sandbox governance, procurement adoption, and regulatory harmonisation. Engagement is formalised through Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) and Operating Circulars, binding ministries to compliance obligations and performance reporting.

### Academic and Research Integration (UCE and UACE Linkage)

DIHAP's partnership with UCE and UACE ensures that research excellence and doctoral programmes translate into applied innovation. Faculty enablement tracks, practicum studios, and doctoral residencies are embedded in hub operations, creating a pipeline from knowledge generation to venture



formation. Certification pathways for students and faculty are co-endorsed by DESA and REC bodies, guaranteeing portability and institutional legitimacy.

### **Private Sector and Anchor Firms**

Anchor firms and technology providers participate through PPP frameworks, providing mentorship, cloud credits, and co-financing for seed-funding windows. SMEs engage through accelerator programmes and sandbox cohorts, benefiting from structured adoption sprints and regional market access. Engagement is governed by Operating Circulars that codify IP rights, risk allocation, and ethical obligations.

### **Civil Society and Inclusion Networks**

Civil society organisations and disability advocacy groups are integrated into hub governance to enforce accessibility standards and monitor inclusion safeguards. Their participation ensures compliance with universal design principles and strengthens legitimacy in public perception.

### **Capacity Building Instruments**

DIHAP delivers structured training tracks across three tiers:

- **Foundational Literacy**: Digital and entrepreneurial skills for students, civil servants, and SME operators.
- Applied Competency: Sandbox participation, venture readiness, and civic-tech deployment.
- **Advanced Certification**: Faculty enablement, doctoral research integration, and trainer-of-trainers pipelines.

Training is delivered through blended modalities—online modules, in-person workshops, and lab-based practicums—and accredited under DESA's certification regime. Regional hubs host shared capacity-building services, reinforcing interoperability and knowledge transfer across REC corridors.

### **Strategic Outcome**

By embedding stakeholder engagement and capacity building into its governance and operational logic, DIHAP ensures that innovation is not episodic but systemic—anchored in sovereign policy, academic excellence, private-sector dynamism, and civil-society oversight. This architecture advances Agenda 2074's vision of inclusive, equitable development by converting connectivity and research into tangible opportunities for education, entrepreneurship, and dignified work.

# Chapter 12. Participation and Partnership Framework

DIHAP institutionalises a structured framework for participation and partnership to ensure that all actors—sovereigns, universities, anchor firms, SMEs, civil society, and development partners—engage under clear legal instruments and compliance obligations. This framework is codified in Operating Circulars and Memoranda of Understanding (MoUs) issued under the authority of the DESA Central Unit and harmonised with REC standards for interoperability and fiduciary integrity.

### **Legal Instruments and Entry Conditions**

Participation in DIHAP hubs, sandboxes, and acceleration programmes is contingent upon formal execution of MoUs and adherence to Operating Circulars. These instruments define eligibility criteria, governance obligations, and compliance requirements, including ethical AI standards, accessibility benchmarks, and data-protection protocols. For anchor firms and technology partners, entry



conditions include vendor-neutral procurement commitments, IP-sharing arrangements under equitable terms, and performance-based obligations linked to sandbox outcomes.

### **Public Sector Participation**

National and municipal authorities participate through steering committees and procurement frameworks that prioritise sandbox-certified civic-tech solutions. Ministries of ICT, Education, and Trade are mandated to integrate DIHAP outputs into service delivery pipelines, ensuring that innovation translates into measurable public value. Participation is formalised through sovereign resolutions and REC-endorsed Operating Circulars, guaranteeing legal sufficiency and enforceability.

### **Academic and Research Partnerships**

Universities and research institutions engage through PPP agreements that embed UCE and UACE programmes into hub operations. These agreements codify faculty enablement, doctoral residencies, and practicum studios, ensuring that research outputs are channelled into sandbox pilots and venture pipelines. Accreditation of academic partners is contingent upon compliance with DESA accessibility standards and contribution to the DESA Knowledge Repository.

### **Private Sector and Investor Engagement**

Anchor firms, SMEs, and venture investors participate through structured PPP frameworks and sandbox admission protocols. Investors are invited under Call-to-Action (CTA) instruments that outline risk-sharing arrangements, governance obligations, and exit strategies. Technology partners provide in-kind contributions—cloud credits, software licenses, and mentorship—under MoUs that enforce ethical and accessibility safeguards.

#### **Development Finance Institutions and Donors**

DFIs and bilateral donors engage through co-financing agreements aligned with AfDB safeguard policies and Agenda 2063 objectives. These agreements prioritise inclusion components, particularly for gender equity and disability access, and link disbursements to verified performance metrics under DIHAP's MRV framework.

### **Civil Society and Inclusion Networks**

Civil society organisations and advocacy groups participate in hub governance and sandbox oversight to enforce accessibility and inclusion safeguards. Their role is codified in Operating Circulars that grant observer status in steering committees and mandate publication of accessibility conformance statements.

### **Call-to-Action for Strategic Partners**

DIHAP issues periodic CTAs inviting investors, technology providers, and anchor firms to participate in sandbox cohorts, seed-funding windows, and regional hub expansions. These CTAs are published through DESA's unified portal and REC coordination platforms, ensuring transparency and equal opportunity for partnership.

### **Strategic Outcome**

By embedding participation and partnership within a legally enforceable framework, DIHAP ensures that innovation ecosystems are inclusive, accountable, and aligned with continental and regional development agendas. This architecture transforms hubs and sandboxes into sovereign instruments of economic diversification and social equity, while providing DFIs and private investors with a bankable, performance-driven platform for long-term engagement.



### Chapter 13. Fiber Optics Capacity and Funding Rationale (DIHAP)

This chapter sets out a clear, decision-grade justification for metropolitan and backbone fiber investment as an enabling condition for DIHAP's education, research (UCE/UACE), sandbox operations, civic-tech procurement, and SME acceleration. It translates policy imperatives—Agenda 2063 implementation, AfDB High 5 priorities, and REC digitalisation strategies—into quantified demand and operational requirements that a sovereign, AfDB, and co-financiers can underwrite with confidence. The argument is intentionally direct and less technical than prior capacity notes, while remaining anchored in authoritative evidence and conservative load modelling.

Policy and strategic context. Fiber is the backbone of meaningful connectivity. Continental analyses confirm that universal, affordable broadband—delivered at quality levels that support education video, research data, and trusted public platforms—requires high-capacity, low-latency fixed infrastructure. The Broadband Commission's *State of Broadband* reports and ITU's global assessments emphasise that closing Africa's usage and affordability gaps depends on both access and quality; submarine and terrestrial fiber carry the overwhelming majority of traffic and provide the reliability necessary for scaled public services and digital economies. Regional programmes—such as COMESA's Inclusive Digitalisation (IDEA)—are mobilising multi-country investments and standards for affordable broadband, trusted data platforms, and interoperable services, with a programme-level objective to enable 180 million people with Internet access and 100 million with digitally-enabled services over the coming eight years. DIHAP is designed to operationalise those objectives inside sovereign hubs, sandboxes, and ministries—provided the fiber substrate exists.

The enabling function for UCE/UACE and Agenda 2074. DIHAP binds UCE (research) and UACE (doctoral/advanced training) to city and campus hubs where laboratories, practicum studios, and faculty residencies depend on predictable high throughput for video, data, and code repositories. Without fiber-grade capacity, research collaboration, doctoral supervision, and publication workflows degrade, and sandboxed products cannot be tested at realistic scale. Agenda 2063's Second Ten-Year Implementation Plan and AfDB's High 5 priorities call for innovation-led growth, integration, and improved quality of life; DIHAP converts these into education seats, research outputs, and SME jobs—but only when the fixed network can carry the aggregate load that modern learning and public service platforms generate.

What "capacity" means in practice for DIHAP. Three demand drivers are unavoidable and recurrent: live education (group video), asynchronous learning and research (HD/Full-HD streams and data transfer), and public service platforms (civic-tech portals, e-procurement analytics, MRV dashboards). Vendor tables and independent tests place typical group video usage around 1–2 GB per user per hour at 720p, while adaptive HD streaming and lecture content average ~2.5 GB per hour. When hubs operate city-wide timetables and campus cohorts concurrently, peak-hour concurrency of only 5% of a million registered users already implies aggregate bidirectional loads in the order of 100–220 Gbps for live education alone, before adding asynchronous content, research transfers, and public portals. Even with conservative baselines, annualised volumes scale to multiple hundreds of petabytes when participation is sustained—a profile that cannot be supported reliably by non-fiber solutions at sovereign scale.

**Economic rationale for funders.** Investments in metropolitan rings, national backhaul, and campus access fiber do not only meet current DIHAP loads; they create durable economic assets with multi-sector spill-overs:



- Education and skills: Fiber-backed hubs deliver predictable video quality, low latency for
  interactive labs, and reliable access to repositories, increasing completion rates and
  employability metrics. Global evidence associates connectivity improvements with measurable
  gains in productive digital usage; fiber turns those gains from "possible" to "repeatable" by
  stabilising quality across cohorts.
- Governance efficiency: Ministries and municipalities can adopt sandbox-validated civic-tech
  with confidence (e-procurement analytics, licensing portals, complaint handling with
  accessibility by design), because the platform performance is guaranteed by network capacity
  rather than by ad-hoc provisioning. REC strategies repeatedly emphasise trusted platforms and
  harmonised data exchange as enablers of service efficiency; fiber is the practical prerequisite.
- Regional competitiveness: EAC and SADC digital roadmaps seek interoperable markets and
  cross-border e-commerce; DIHAP's regional hubs and shared services reduce regulatory
  friction and time-to-market for SMEs when connectivity is stable and fast. Fiber makes mutual
  recognition and interop pilots operational at scale rather than pilot-bounded.

**Financing fit with AfDB and DFI portfolios.** AfDB's Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA) includes ICT projects structured to expand affordable broadband and improve reliability; DIHAP's quantified profiles (peak-hour throughput, annual volumes, concurrency) translate PIDA and Ten-Year Strategy principles into an investable case for metropolitan rings, regional backhaul, and campus access upgrades. World Bank analyses of fiber business models and open-access frameworks reinforce the sustainability of backbone-plus-shared-services designs, which DIHAP already adopts through pooled procurement, repository sharing, and regional hubs.

Affordability safeguards and social equity. To meet Broadband Commission advocacy targets and REC affordability objectives, DIHAP ties hub accreditation to tariffs, scholarship windows, and accessibility obligations. Fiber reduces per-bit costs and enables shared-service models; when pooled procurement and open digital public goods are used, sovereigns can enforce affordability caps while maintaining service quality. This is central to Agenda 2074's social-equity aims: participation by women, youth, and persons with disabilities depends on both access and quality; fiber ensures the latter.

**Recommendation to funders.** The sovereign should request financing for a three-layer fiber package directly tied to DIHAP outcomes:

- Metropolitan rings (city hubs, campus spurs). Dimensioned for ≥200–300 Gbps peak aggregate education load with 25% engineering headroom; built for redundancy (dual rings) and low-latency inter-hub links.
- Regional/national backhaul. Scalable to multi-Tbps to aggregate city rings and support
  cross-border pilots aligned with COMESA/EAC/SADC interop requirements; provisioned under
  open-access frameworks to crowd-in private investment and reduce downstream costs.
- Campus access upgrades. Fiber-to-building with structured in-building distribution to labs and lecture theatres; QoS policies prioritising education, accessibility services, and public platforms; monitored through DIHAP's MRV dashboards for transparency and performance-based disbursements.

**Conclusion for the investment case.** DIHAP converts policy ambition into measurable outcomes—education seats, research outputs, interoperable public services, and SMEs—only when the sovereign's network substrate meets predictable throughput and latency standards. The evidence base and



conservative modelling demonstrate that fiber is not a luxury but a necessary pre-condition to realise UCE/UACE linkages, to deliver on Agenda 2063/2074, and to meet REC integration targets. Funding metropolitan and backbone fiber under AfDB/DFI envelopes is therefore a direct investment in sovereign capacity to educate at scale, govern with evidence, and compete regionally—an investment whose returns materialise across ministries, campuses, and markets, and whose performance can be verified under DIHAP's public MRV regime.

Table 1 — Core Assumptions for DIHAP Load Modelling

Component	Baseline	Research-Active	Research-Intensive
Live teaching & meetings (group video; typical 720p/1080p mix)	40 GB	120 GB	220 GB
Asynchronous learning & seminar video (HD/FHD adaptive streaming)	60 GB	100 GB	150 GB
Research sync & repositories (code, datasets, papers; cloud sync)	15 GB	70 GB	115 GB
Accessibility, dashboards, portals (TTS, captions, web/API)	5 GB	10 GB	15 GB
Per active user / month (sum)	120 GB	300 GB	500 GB

**Notes.** Live teaching reflects vendor guidance for group video bandwidth in the 1-6 Mbps combined range depending on resolution and layout; HD/FHD adaptive streaming is expressed at ~2.5 GB/h; research sync introduces sustained background transfer common to doctoral and lab workflows.

Table B — Monthly and Annual Aggregates (700,000 active users)

Scenario	Per active user / month	Aggregate / month	Aggregate / year
Enhanced Baseline	120 GB	84 PB	1,008 PB
Research-Active	300 GB	210 PB	2,520 PB
Research-Intensive	500 GB	350 PB	4,200 PB

**Method.** Aggregate/month =  $700,000 \times \text{per-user GB} \div 1,000 \text{ (TB)} \div 1,000 \text{ (PB)}$ . Annual = monthly  $\times$  12. The Research-Intensive profile corresponds to your personal estimate (~450–500 GB/month) and is plausible for power users combining multiple HD sessions, sustained cloud sync, and large file transfers.



Table C — Peak-Hour Throughput Sizing (Education Timetables; Bidirectional)

Parameter	Enhanced Baseline	Research-Active	Research-Intensive
meetings)	75,000	7.5% = 75,000	10% = 100,000
Per-user live rate (combined down+up)	~3.3 Mbps	~4.4 Mbps	~5.0 Mbps
Aggregate live load (Gbps)	~248 Gbps	~330 Gbps	~500 Gbps
Engineering headroom (+25%)	~310 Gbps	~413 Gbps	~625 Gbps

**Notes.** Per-user rates reflect typical vendor tables for 720p–1080p group video; the higher concurrency in the Research-Intensive column captures exam weeks, symposia, or multi-campus colloquia. These figures size the metro/backhaul fiber for predictable service quality in education and research

Table D — Fiber Investment Layers and Target Capacities

Layer	Target Capacity	Rationale (DIHAP)
Metropolitan rings (dual rings; city hubs + campus spurs)	≥ 400–700 Gbps peak (per metro), scalable to multi-Tbps	Sustains education and research peaks with redundancy and QoS; aligns with headroom in Table C.
Regional/national backhaul (open-access)	Multi-Tbps scalable	Aggregates metro rings; supports cross-border pilots under COMESA/EAC/SADC interop; enables wholesale cost reduction.
Campus access fiber + in-building distribution	10-40 Gbps per building (upgradable)	Feeds labs, lecture theatres, and repositories; prioritises accessibility services and MRV portals; instrumented for performance-based disbursements.

Table E — Funding Phasing Linked to MRV (Illustrative)

Phase	Fiber Components	Disbursement Gate (MRV)
Initiation (0–6 months)	Design, wayleave, metro ring trenching start; first campus spurs	MRV: Baseline QoS established; hub accreditation; public dashboard online
Scale-Up (6–18 months)	Metro rings completed; backhaul upgrades; campus fiber to labs	MRV: Peak-hour throughput verified; sandbox exits; ministry adoptions



Phase	Fiber Components	Disbursement Gate (MRV)
Consolidation (18–36 months)	Redundancy enhancements; regional interconnect; capacity upgrades	MRV: Annual audits (ethics/accessibility/data/fiduciary); affordability caps met

This phasing enables performance-based financing aligned with AfDB and DFI practice: disbursements are triggered by measurable network and service benchmarks rather than paper milestones.

### Final Word: Fiber as the Sovereign Enabler for DIHAP and Beyond

The quantified profiles and revised scenarios confirm what policy frameworks have long implied: fiber is not a discretionary upgrade—it is the structural prerequisite for sovereign capacity to educate at scale, govern with evidence, and compete regionally. When aggregated across DIHAP hubs, UCE/UACE research ecosystems, and other DESA programmes, annual traffic volumes enter the exabyte domain, a magnitude that no wireless or legacy infrastructure can sustain with reliability, affordability, and security.

This is not an abstract projection. It is a direct consequence of the services that define modern governance and inclusive development: high-definition education streams, doctoral research transfers, sandboxed civic-tech pilots, and interoperable public platforms. Each of these functions is mandated under Agenda 2063, Agenda 2074, and REC digitalisation strategies; each depends on predictable throughput and low latency; and each fails without fiber-grade capacity.

For AfDB and development financiers, this translates into a bankable proposition: fiber investment is not a sunk cost but a multi-sector growth engine. It underpins education outcomes, accelerates SME formation, and enables ministries to digitise services with confidence. It also aligns perfectly with AfDB's High 5 priorities—Integrate Africa, Industrialise Africa, and Improve Quality of Life—and with PIDA's ICT portfolio, which recognises broadband as a continental infrastructure imperative.

The sovereign case is therefore clear: funding metropolitan rings, national backhaul, and campus access fiber is an investment in institutional resilience and regional competitiveness. It is the foundation upon which DIHAP's innovation mandate, UCE/UACE's research ambitions, and Agenda 2074's equity goals become operational realities. Without fiber, these ambitions remain aspirational; with fiber, they become measurable, auditable, and scalable—delivering returns in education, governance, and markets for decades to come.