DECEMBER 23, 2025

SGG 17: ETHICAL USE OF TECHNOLOGY FOR SOCIAL BENEFIT

HARNESSING INNOVATION RESPONSIBLY FOR EQUITY AND INCLUSION.

CREATED BY
EUSL AB
Care to Change the World

Table of Contents

SGG 17: Ethical Use of Technology for Social Benefit

Executive Summary

The Social Global Goal on **Ethical Use of Technology for Social Benefit** acknowledges that technology is one of the most powerful forces shaping human society in the 21st century. From artificial intelligence and digital platforms to biotechnology and data analytics, technological innovation holds immense potential to address social challenges, enhance governance, and improve quality of life. Yet, this potential is shadowed by risks—privacy violations, algorithmic bias, digital exclusion, and the commodification of human behavior—that threaten to deepen inequalities and erode trust in institutions.

This goal seeks to establish a global framework where technology serves as an instrument of equity rather than exploitation. It advocates for ethical standards that govern the design, deployment, and regulation of technological systems, ensuring that innovation aligns with human rights, social justice, and sustainability. By embedding these principles within Agenda 2074, this goal harmonizes with **SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure)** and **SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions)**, while extending their vision into a future where progress is measured not only by efficiency but by fairness and inclusivity. Technology must be a bridge to opportunity—not a barrier—and this goal provides the blueprint for achieving that balance.

Goal Statement and Definition

Goal Statement:

To ensure that technological innovation is governed by ethical principles that prioritize social benefit, inclusivity, and human dignity, creating systems that advance equity rather than perpetuate inequality.

Definition:

"Ethical use of technology for social benefit" refers to the development, deployment, and governance of technological solutions in ways that uphold transparency, accountability, and fairness. It encompasses issues such as data privacy, algorithmic integrity, accessibility, and environmental sustainability, while promoting innovation that addresses societal needs. This goal recognizes that technology is not neutral; its impact depends on the values embedded in its design and the frameworks that regulate its use.

Illustrative Dimensions of Ethical Technology Use

Dimension	Scope of Action
Data Privacy and Security	Establishing robust safeguards for personal data and preventing misuse or exploitation.
Algorithmic Fairness	Ensuring transparency and accountability in AI systems to eliminate bias and discrimination.

Dimension	Scope of Action
Digital Inclusion	Expanding access to technology for marginalized communities and reducing the digital divide.
Environmental Responsibility	Promoting sustainable technology development that minimizes ecological impact.
Human-Centric Design	Designing platforms and tools that prioritize user well-being and social empowerment.

Strategic Rationale

Technology is no longer a peripheral enabler; it is the backbone of modern governance, commerce, and social interaction. Its capacity to accelerate progress is unparalleled, yet its unchecked application can amplify inequality, compromise privacy, and erode trust in institutions. Ethical governance of technology is therefore not a luxury—it is a structural necessity for sustainable development and social equity.

The rationale for this goal rests on three critical dimensions. First, technology shapes access to essential services, from education and healthcare to financial inclusion. If deployed without ethical safeguards, these systems risk excluding vulnerable populations and reinforcing systemic bias. Second, the rise of artificial intelligence and data-driven decision-making introduces profound ethical dilemmas, including algorithmic discrimination and opaque governance. Third, technological innovation often prioritizes efficiency and profit over human dignity, creating a tension between commercial imperatives and societal well-being.

By embedding ethical principles into the design and regulation of technology, societies can harness innovation as a force for equity rather than exploitation. This goal aligns with **SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure)** and **SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions)**, while extending their vision into the long-term horizon of Agenda 2074. It ensures that progress is measured not only by technological sophistication but by its capacity to advance fairness, inclusivity, and sustainability.

Advocacy Objectives

Advocacy for ethical technology use must elevate the discourse beyond technical compliance, framing it as a moral and social imperative. The objectives are designed to influence policy, mobilize stakeholders, and embed ethical norms into innovation ecosystems.

Advocacy Objective	Expected Outcome
Policy and Regulatory Reform	Enactment of laws and standards governing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and ethical AI deployment.
Global Ethical Frameworks	Development of universal principles under Agenda 2074, harmonized with international conventions on digital rights.

Advocacy Objective	Expected Outcome
Public Awareness Campaigns	Initiatives to educate citizens on digital ethics, privacy rights, and responsible technology use.
Industry Engagement	Collaboration with technology firms to integrate ethical design principles into product development and corporate governance.
Digital Inclusion Advocacy	Programs to bridge the digital divide, ensuring equitable access to technology for marginalized communities.

Advocacy will leverage multi-channel strategies—policy dialogues, global forums, and educational platforms—to position ethical technology governance as a universal standard. Success will be measured through legislative milestones, adoption of ethical frameworks by industry, and demonstrable improvements in digital inclusion and trust.

Implementation Pathways (Non-Financial)

Embedding ethical principles into technology ecosystems requires deliberate, multi-dimensional strategies that prioritize inclusivity, transparency, and accountability. These pathways focus on systemic integration and stakeholder collaboration without immediate reliance on financial instruments:

Pathway	Narrative Description
Regulatory Frameworks	Governments must enact laws and standards governing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and ethical AI deployment. These frameworks should be adaptive to emerging technologies and harmonized with international conventions.
Industry Codes of Conduct	Technology firms should adopt voluntary codes aligned with Agenda 2074 principles, integrating ethical design into product development and corporate governance.
Digital Literacy Programs	Educational initiatives must equip citizens with the knowledge to navigate digital ecosystems responsibly, emphasizing privacy rights and ethical technology use.
Inclusive Technology Design	Platforms and tools should be developed with accessibility features that accommodate persons with disabilities and marginalized communities, reducing the digital divide.
Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration	Formalize partnerships between governments, civil society, academia, and private sector actors to co-create ethical frameworks and share best practices globally.

These pathways underscore that ethical technology governance is not a static compliance exercise but a dynamic process requiring continuous adaptation to innovation and societal needs.

Institutional Anchoring

The custodianship of this goal within Agenda 2074 is structured to ensure global coherence while enabling local flexibility. Anchoring institutions will provide normative guidance, technical assistance, and compliance oversight:

Institutional Actor	Role and Responsibility
Global Social Equity Alliance (GSEA)	Establishes global ethical standards for technology governance and coordinates advocacy efforts.
Global Social Impact Alliance (GSIA)	Oversees compliance, conducts audits, and provides technical assistance for ethical technology programs.
Global Social Cooperative Alliance (GSCA)	Facilitates cooperative models for community-driven digital inclusion initiatives.
Social Label Unity Center (SLUC)	Develops toolkits, training modules, and open-access resources for ethical technology adoption and capacity building.

Roles Across Stakeholders:

- **Governments:** Enact ethical technology laws, integrate digital rights into national development plans, and allocate institutional resources for implementation.

- **Private Sector:** Align corporate strategies with ethical principles, ensuring transparency in AI systems and responsible data practices.

- **Civil Society:** Advocate for digital rights, monitor compliance, and mobilize communities for inclusive technology adoption.

- **International Partners:** Provide technical expertise, global benchmarking tools, and platforms for knowledge exchange.

Universal Adaptability Principle:

This goal is designed for voluntary adoption by any government, private entity, or civil society organization, with open-access guidelines and toolkits provided under Agenda 2074 to ensure scalability and inclusivity.

Compliance & Governance Principles

The governance framework for ethical technology use must be robust, adaptive, and enforceable, ensuring that innovation serves the common good rather than narrow interests. Compliance is not merely a technical exercise; it is a societal safeguard against misuse and inequity.

Transparency is the first principle. All technological systems—particularly those involving data collection, AI algorithms, and automated decision-making—must disclose their operational logic, data sources, and intended outcomes. This openness builds trust and enables independent scrutiny.

Accountability ensures that actors deploying technology bear responsibility for its social impact. Governments, corporations, and developers must adhere to enforceable standards, subject to audits

and sanctions for violations. GSIA will serve as the global compliance body under Agenda 2074, supported by national regulators and civil society monitors.

Inclusivity demands that governance frameworks reflect diverse perspectives, including those of marginalized communities most affected by technological shifts. Advisory councils and participatory forums should institutionalize representation in decision-making processes.

Ethical Standards require that technology respects human dignity, privacy, and autonomy. These standards will be codified under Agenda 2074 protocols and harmonized with international conventions such as the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

Legal Harmonization calls for alignment between national laws and global ethical frameworks, facilitating cross-border cooperation and preventing regulatory arbitrage.

Monitoring & Advocacy Metrics

Measuring progress under this goal requires indicators that capture compliance, inclusivity, and societal impact. Monitoring will combine national reporting, independent audits, and global benchmarking under GSIA's oversight.

Metric Category	Indicator
Policy Adoption	Number of national laws and regulations enacted on ethical technology governance.
Industry Compliance	Percentage of technology firms adopting Agenda 2074-aligned ethical codes of conduct.
Digital Inclusion	Reduction in digital divide metrics; accessibility rates for marginalized communities.
Transparency Benchmarks	Proportion of AI systems and platforms with publicly disclosed algorithms and data policies.
Public Awareness	Engagement levels in digital ethics campaigns; perception indices on trust in technology.

Advocacy success will be assessed through legislative milestones, industry adoption rates, and measurable improvements in digital equity and public trust.

Risk and Mitigation

The pursuit of ethical technology governance faces complex risks that span technical, social, and geopolitical dimensions. These risks, if left unmanaged, could undermine trust, exacerbate inequality, and compromise the integrity of digital ecosystems.

Regulatory Gaps
Rapid technological innovation often outpaces legislative frameworks, creating loopholes that enable misuse or exploitation.

Mitigation: Establish adaptive regulatory models under Agenda 2074, supported by global ethical standards and periodic reviews to keep pace with emerging technologies.

Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

AI systems trained on biased datasets can perpetuate systemic inequalities, affecting decisions in critical areas such as healthcare, employment, and justice.

Mitigation: Mandate algorithmic audits, enforce transparency in data sourcing, and promote inclusive design practices that reflect diverse social realities.

Digital Divide

Unequal access to technology risks deepening socioeconomic disparities, leaving marginalized communities excluded from digital opportunities.

Mitigation: Implement inclusive technology policies, expand connectivity infrastructure, and integrate digital literacy programs into education systems.

Cybersecurity Threats

Data breaches and cyberattacks compromise privacy and erode trust in digital platforms.

Mitigation: Strengthen cybersecurity protocols, enforce compliance with international standards, and invest in capacity-building for secure technology deployment.

Commercial Exploitation

Profit-driven innovation may prioritize efficiency and monetization over ethical considerations, leading to surveillance capitalism and erosion of autonomy.

Mitigation: Introduce ethical certification systems for technology firms, incentivize compliance through public recognition, and enforce penalties for violations.

Alignment with Other Goals

SGG 17 is a cross-cutting enabler that reinforces multiple Social Global Goals within Agenda 2074:

Related Goal	Nature of Alignment
SGG 1 (Universal Access to Essential Services)	Ethical technology ensures equitable access to digital health, education, and financial services.
SGG 4 (Educational Equity and Lifelong Learning)	Digital platforms governed by ethical standards expand learning opportunities without reinforcing bias.
SGG 13 (Protection of Vulnerable Populations)	Ethical frameworks safeguard vulnerable groups from exploitation and digital exclusion.
SGG 16 (Promoting Civic Engagement and Participation)	Secure, inclusive digital platforms enable participatory governance and strengthen democratic processes.

By aligning with these interconnected goals, SGG 17 transforms technology from a potential source of inequality into a catalyst for social empowerment, reinforcing the Agenda 2074 vision of inclusive, equitable, and sustainable progress.

Final Word:

Technology must serve humanity, not dominate it. Ethical governance of innovation ensures that digital progress enhances social welfare rather than deepening divides. By embedding responsibility into technological systems, we secure a future where innovation and equity coexist.